

Density of space-time distribution of Brownian first hitting of a disc and a ball.

Kôhei UCHIYAMA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology
Oh-okayama, Meguro Tokyo 152-8551
e-mail: uchiyama@math.titech.ac.jp

running head: space-time distribution of Brownian first hitting

key words: harmonic measure for heat operator, Brownian hitting time, caloric measure, parabolic measure, space-time distribution

AMS Subject classification (2010): Primary 60J65, Secondary 60J45, 60J60.

Abstract

We compute the joint distribution of the site and the time at which a d -dimensional standard Brownian motion B_t hits the surface of the ball $U(a) = \{|\mathbf{x}| < a\}$ for the first time. The asymptotic form of its density is obtained when either the hitting time or the starting site B_0 becomes large. Our results entail that if Brownian motion is started at $\mathbf{x}$ and conditioned to hit $U(a)$ at time t for the first time, the distribution of the hitting site approaches the uniform distribution or the point mass at $a\mathbf{x}/|\mathbf{x}|$ according as $|\mathbf{x}|/t$ tends to zero or infinity; in each case we provide a precise asymptotic estimate of the density. In the case when $|\mathbf{x}|/t$ tends to a positive constant we show the convergence of the density and derive an analytic expression of the limit density.

1 Introduction

The harmonic measure (also called caloric measure or caloric measure in the present context [22]) of the unbounded space-time domain

$$D = \{(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty) : |\mathbf{x}| > a\}$$

($a > 0$) for the heat operator $\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \partial_t$ consists of two components, one supported by the initial time boundary $t = 0$ and the other by the lateral boundary $\{|\mathbf{x}| = a\} \times \{t > 0\}$. The former one is nothing but the measure whose density is given by the heat kernel for physical space $|\mathbf{x}| > a$ with Dirichlet zero boundary condition. This paper concerns the latter, aiming to find a precise asymptotic form of it when the distance of the reference point from the boundary becomes large. In the probabilistic term this latter part is given by the joint distribution,

$H(\mathbf{x}, dtd\xi)$, of the site ξ and the time t at which the d -dimensional standard Brownian motion hits the surface of the ball $U(a) = \{|\mathbf{x}| < a\}$ for the first time: given a bounded continuous function $\varphi(\xi, t)$ on the lateral boundary of D , the bounded solution $u = u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ of the heat equation $(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \partial_t)u = 0$ in D satisfying the boundary condition

$$u(\xi, t) = \varphi(\xi, t) \quad (|\xi| = a, t > 0) \quad \text{and} \quad u(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0 \quad (|\mathbf{x}| > a)$$

can be expressed in the boundary integral

$$u(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_0^t \int_{|\xi|=a} \varphi(\xi, t-s) H(\mathbf{x}, ds d\xi).$$

The probability measure $H(\mathbf{x}, dtd\xi)$ has a smooth density, which may be factored into the product of the hitting time density and the density for the hitting site distribution conditional on the hitting time. While the asymptotic forms of the first factor are computed in several recent papers [15], [1], [4], [17], the latter seems to be rarely investigated and in this paper we carry out the computation of it. Consider the hitting site distribution of $\partial U(a)$ for the Brownian motion conditioned to start at $\mathbf{x} \notin U(a)$ and hit $U(a)$ at time t for the first time. It would be intuitively clear that the conditional distribution of the hitting site becomes nearly uniform on the sphere for large t if $|\mathbf{x}|$ is small relative to t , while one may speculate that it concentrates about the point $a\mathbf{x}/|\mathbf{x}|$ as $|\mathbf{x}|$ becomes very large in comparison with t . Our results entail that in the limit there appears the uniform distribution or the point mass at $a\mathbf{x}/|\mathbf{x}| \in \partial U(a)$ according as $|\mathbf{x}|/t$ tends to zero or infinity; in each case we provide a certain exact estimate of the density. In the case when $|\mathbf{x}|/t$ tends to a positive constant the conditional distribution has a limit, of which we derive an analytic expression for the density. Using these results together with the estimates of hitting time density obtained in [17] we can compute the hitting distributions of bounded Borel sets, as is carried out in a separate paper [19]. When $|\mathbf{x}|/t$ tends to become large, the problem is comparable to that for the hitting distribution for the Brownian motion with a large constant drift started at $\mathbf{x}$ and for the latter process one may expect that the distribution is uniform if it is projected on the cross section of $U(a)$ cut with the plane passing through the origin and perpendicular to the unit vector $\mathbf{x}/|\mathbf{x}|$. This is true in the sense of weak convergence of measures, but in a finer measure the distribution is not flat: the density of the projected distribution has large values along the circumference of the cross section. For such computation it is crucial to have a certain delicate estimate of the hitting distribution for t small, which we also provide in this paper.

2 Notation and Main Results

In this section we present main results obtained in this paper, of which some detailed statements may be given later sections. Before doing that, we give basic notation used throughout and state the results on the hitting time distribution from [17].

2.1. NOTATION.

We fix the radius $a > 0$ of the Euclidian ball $U(a) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\mathbf{x}| < a\}$ ($d = 2, 3, \dots$). Let $P_{\mathbf{x}}$ be the probability law of a d -dimensional standard Brownian motion, denoted by $B_t, t \geq 0$, started at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $E_{\mathbf{x}}$ the expectation under $P_{\mathbf{x}}$. We usually write P and E for $P_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $E_{\mathbf{0}}$, respectively, where $\mathbf{0}$ designates the origin of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The following notation is used throughout the paper.

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= \frac{d}{2} - 1 \quad (d = 1, 2, \dots); \\ \mathbf{e} &= (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d; \\ \sigma_a &= \inf\{t > 0 : |B_t| \leq a\}; \\ q_a^{(d)}(x, t) &= \frac{d}{dt} P_{\mathbf{x}}[\sigma_a \leq t] \quad (x = |\mathbf{x}| > a). \\ p_t^{(d)}(x) &= (2\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-x^2/2t}. \\ \Lambda_\nu(y) &= \frac{(2\pi)^{\nu+1}}{2y^\nu K_\nu(y)} \quad (y > 0). \\ \omega_{d-1} &= 2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2) \text{ (the area of } d-1 \text{ dimensional unit sphere).} \\ \mu_d &= \omega_{d-1}/\omega_{d-2} = \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})/\Gamma(\nu + 1).\end{aligned}$$

Here K_ν is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order ν . We usually write x for $|\mathbf{x}|$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (as above); $d = 2\nu + 2$ and ν are used interchangeably; and we sometime write $q^\nu(x, t)$ for $q^{(d)}(x, t)$ when doing so gives rise to no confusion and facilitates computation or exposition and also $B(t)$ for B_t for typographical reason. When working on the plane we often tacitly use complex notation to denote points of it, for instance a point of the circle $\partial U(a)$ is indicated as $ae^{i\theta}$ with θ denoting the (well-defined) argument of the point.

We write $x \vee y$ and $x \wedge y$ for the maximum and minimum of real numbers x, y , respectively; $f(t) \sim g(t)$ if $f(t)/g(t) \rightarrow 1$ in any process of taking limit. The symbols C, C_1, C' , etc, denote universal positive constants whose precise values are unimportant; the same symbol may takes different values in different occurrences.

2.2. DENSITY OF HITTING TIME DISTRIBUTION.

Here we state the results from [17] on $q_a^{(d)}(x, t)$, the density for σ_a . The definition of $q^{(d)}(x, t)$ may be naturally extended to Bessel processes of order ν and the results concerning it given below may be applied to such extension if $\nu \geq 0$.

Theorem A. *Uniformly for $x > a$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$q_a^{(d)}(x, t) \sim a^{2\nu} \Lambda_\nu\left(\frac{ax}{t}\right) p_t^{(d)}(x) \left[1 - \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{2\nu}\right] \quad (d \geq 3) \quad (2.1)$$

and for $d = 2$,

$$q_a^{(2)}(x, t) = p_t^{(2)}(x) \times \begin{cases} \frac{4\pi \lg(x/a)}{(\lg(t/a^2))^2} (1 + o(1)) & (x \leq \sqrt{t}), \\ \Lambda_0\left(\frac{ax}{t}\right) (1 + o(1)) & (x > \sqrt{t}). \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

From the known properties of $K_\nu(z)$ it follows that

$$\Lambda_\nu(y) = (2\pi)^{\nu+1/2} y^{-\nu+1/2} e^y (1 + O(1/y)) \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty; \quad (2.3)$$

$$\Lambda_\nu(0) = \frac{2\pi^{\nu+1}}{\Gamma(\nu)} (= \nu\omega_{d-1}) \quad \text{for } \nu > 0; \quad \Lambda_0(y) \sim \frac{\pi}{-\lg y} \quad \text{as } y \downarrow 0.$$

Theorem B. *For each $\nu \geq 0$ it holds that uniformly for all $t > 0$ and $x > a$,*

$$q_a^{(d)}(x, t) = \frac{x-a}{\sqrt{2\pi} t^{3/2}} e^{-(x-a)^2/2t} \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{(d-1)/2} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{t}{ax}\right)\right]. \quad (2.4)$$

REMARK 1. Under certain constraints on x and t some finer error estimates in the formulae of Theorem A are given in [15] ($d = 2$, $|x| < \sqrt{t}$) and in [17] ($|x|/t \rightarrow \infty$). The formula (2.4) of Theorem B is sharp only if $x/t \rightarrow \infty$. The case $t \rightarrow \infty$ of it is contained in Theorem A apart from the error estimate. A better error estimate is obtained in [1] by a purely analytic approach. A probabilistic proof of (2.4) is found in [18]. We shall use (2.4) primarily for the case $0 < t < a^2$.

REMARK 2 (Scaling property). From the scaling property of Brownian motion it follows that

$$q_a^{(d)}(x, t) = a^{-2} q_1^{(d)}(x/a, t/a^2); \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{P_{xe}[B(\sigma_a) \in d\xi \mid \sigma_a = t]}{m_a(d\xi)} = \frac{P_{(x/a)e}[B(\sigma_1) \in d\xi' \mid \sigma_1 = t/a^2]}{m_1(d\xi')} \Big|_{\xi' = \xi/a}$$

for all dimensions ≥ 2 . Even though because of this we can obtain the result for $a \neq 1$ by simply substituting t/a^2 and x/a in place of t and x , respectively, in the formula for $a = 1$, in the above we have exhibited the formula for $q_a^{(d)}(x, t)$ with $a > 0$ arbitrary. We shall follow this example in stating the results of the present work. It is warned that we are not so scrupulous in doing that: in particular, to indicate the constraints of t (and/or x) we often simply write $t > 1$ when we should write $t > a^2$ for instance.

2.3. DENSITY OF HITTING SITE DISTRIBUTION CONDITIONAL ON $\sigma_a = t$.

For finding the asymptotic form of the hitting distribution, with that of $q^{(d)}(x, t)$ being given in 2.2, it remains to estimate the conditional density $P_x[B_t \in d\xi \mid \sigma_a = t]/d\xi$. Before stating the results on it we shall consider the argument of the hitting site $B(\sigma_a)$ in the case $d = 2$, when the winding number around the origin is naturally associated with the process.

2.3.1. DENSITY FOR $\arg B(\sigma_a)$ (CASE $d = 2$). Let $\arg B_t \in \mathbb{R}$ be the argument of B_t (regarded as a complex Brownian motion), which is a.s. uniquely determined by continuity under the convention $\arg B_0 \in (-\pi, \pi]$. The function of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi_a(\lambda; v) = \frac{K_0(av)}{K_\lambda(av)} \quad (v > 0). \quad (2.5)$$

turns out to be a characteristic function of a probability distribution on $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.1. $\Phi_a(\lambda; v) = \lim_{x/t \rightarrow v} E_{xe}[e^{i\lambda \arg B(\sigma_a)} \mid \sigma_a = t].$

Since $\Phi_a(\lambda; v)$ is continuous at $\lambda = 0$, Theorem 2.1 shows that the conditional law of $\arg B(\sigma_a)$ converges to the probability law whose characteristic function equals $\Phi_a(\lambda; v)$. In fact Φ_a is smooth in λ , so that the limit law has a density. If $f_a(\theta; v)$ denotes the density, then

$$\Phi_a(\lambda; v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda\theta} f_a(\theta; v) d\theta \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R});$$

we shall see that the density of the conditional law converges:

$$f_a(\theta; v) = \lim_{x/t \rightarrow v} \frac{P_{xe}[\arg B_t \in d\theta | \sigma_a = t]}{d\theta} \quad (-\infty < \theta < \infty, v > 0) \quad (2.6)$$

(Section 3.2.2). By (2.5)

$$\Phi_a(\lambda; 0+) = 0 \quad (\lambda \neq 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_a(\lambda; +\infty) = 1,$$

which shows that the probability $f_a(\theta; v)d\theta$ concentrates in the limit at infinity as $v \downarrow 0$ and at zero as $v \rightarrow \infty$. Since for $0 < y < \infty$,

$$\lg K_\lambda(y) \sim |\lambda| \lg |\lambda| \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad (2.7)$$

for each $v > 0$, $f_a(\cdot; v)$ can be extended to an entire function; in particular its support (as a function on $\mathbb{R}$) is the whole real line and we can then readily infer that $f_a(\theta; v) > 0$ for all θ (see (3.6)). $K_{i\eta}(av)$ is an entire function of η and has zeros on and only on the real axis. If η_0 is its smallest positive zero, then

$$\int_0^\infty f_a(\theta; v) e^{\eta\theta} d\theta \text{ is finite or infinite according as } \eta < \eta_0 \text{ or } \eta \geq \eta_0;$$

it can be shown that $0 < \eta_0 - av \leq C(av)^{1/3}$ for $v > 1$ and $-C(\lg av)^2 \leq \eta_0 - \pi/|\lg av| < 0$ for $v < 1/2$ [20].

The next result is derived independently of Theorem 2.1 and in a quite different way.

Proposition 2.1. *For $v > 0$*

$$f_a(\theta; v) \geq \pi^{-1} av K_0(av) e^{av \cos \theta} \cos \theta \quad (|\theta| \leq \tfrac{1}{2}\pi). \quad (2.8)$$

2.3.2. DENSITY FOR HITTING SITE. Let $m_a(d\xi)$ denote the uniform probability distribution on $\partial U(a)$, namely $m_a(d\xi) = (\omega_{d-1} a^{d-1})^{-1} |d\xi|$, where ω_{d-1} denotes the area of the $d-1$ dimensional unit sphere $\partial U(1)$, $d\xi \subset \partial U(a)$ an surface element and $|d\xi|$ its Lebesgue measure. Let $\text{Arg } z$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ denote the principal value $\in (-\pi, \pi]$ of $\arg z$.

Theorem 2.2. (i) *If $d = 2$, uniformly for $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$, as $x/t \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\frac{P_{xe}[\text{Arg } B_t \in d\theta | \sigma_a = t]}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{2\pi} + O\left(\frac{x}{t} \ell(x, t)\right),$$

where $\ell(x, t) = (\lg t)^2 / \lg(x + 2a)$ if $a < x < \sqrt{t}$ and $= \lg(t/x)$ if $x > \sqrt{t}$.

(ii) *If $d \geq 3$, uniformly for $\xi \in \partial U(a)$, as $v = x/t \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\frac{P_{xe}[B_t \in d\xi | \sigma_a = t]}{m_a(d\xi)} = 1 + O\left(\frac{x}{t}\right).$$

The orders of magnitude for the error terms given in Theorem 2.2 are correct ones (see Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.1).

Let $\theta = \theta(\xi) \in [0, \pi]$ denote the colatitude of a point $\xi \in \partial U(a)$ with $a\mathbf{e}$ taken to be the north pole, namely $a \cos \theta = \xi \cdot \mathbf{e}$.

Theorem 2.3. *For each $M > 1$, uniformly for $0 < v < M$ and $\xi \in \partial U(a)$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $x/t \rightarrow v$*

$$\frac{P_{x\mathbf{e}}[B_t \in d\xi \mid \sigma_a = t]}{m_a(d\xi)} \longrightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{K_\nu(av)}{K_{\nu+n}(av)} H_n(\theta). \quad (2.9)$$

Here $\theta = \theta(\xi)$; and $H_0(\theta) \equiv 1$ and for $n \geq 1$,

$$H_n(\theta) = \begin{cases} 2 \cos n\theta & \text{if } d = 2, \\ (1 + \nu^{-1}n)C_n^\nu(\cos \theta) & \text{if } d \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

where $C_n^\nu(z)$ is the Gegenbauer polynomial of order n associated with ν .

According to (2.7) the convergence of the series appearing as the limit in (2.9) is quite fast. For $d = 2$, as one may notice, (2.9) is obtained from Theorem 2.1 by using Poisson summation formula. The limit function represented by the series approaches unity as $v \downarrow 0$ (uniformly in θ), so that the asserted uniformity of convergence implies that the density on the left converges to unity as $x/t \rightarrow 0$, conforming to Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.4. *Uniformly for $t > 1$, as $v := x/t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{P_{x\mathbf{e}}[B_t \in d\xi \mid \sigma_a = t]}{\omega_{d-1}m_a(d\xi)} \\ &= \left(\frac{av}{2\pi}\right)^{(d-1)/2} e^{-av(1-\cos \theta)} \cos \theta \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{av \cos^3 \theta}\right)\right] \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi - \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}}, \\ &\asymp \left(\frac{av}{2\pi}\right)^{(d-1)/2} e^{-av(1-\cos \theta)} \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}} \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2}\pi - \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}} < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \theta(\xi)$; $f(t) \asymp g(t)$ signifies that $f(t)/g(t)$ is bounded away from zero and infinity.

Combined with Theorem B, Theorem 2.4 yields an asymptotic result of the joint distribution of (B_{σ_a}, σ_a) . On noting that $(\frac{y}{2\pi})^{(d-1)/2} = [ye^y/\Lambda_\nu(y)](1 + O(1/y))$ ($y > 1$), $\cos \theta = \mathbf{x} \cdot \xi/ax$,

$$e^{-av(1-\cos \theta)} p_t^{(d)}(x-a) = p_t^{(d)}(|\mathbf{x} - \xi|)$$

and $\cos \theta \sim \frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta$ as $\theta \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\pi$, we state the first half of it as the following

Corollary 2.1. *Uniformly under the constraint $\mathbf{x} \cdot \xi/ax > (av)^{-1/3}$ and $t > a^2$, as $v := x/t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\frac{P_{x\mathbf{e}}[B(\sigma_a) \in d\xi, \sigma_a \in dt]}{\omega_{d-1}m_a(d\xi)dt} = a^{2\nu} \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \xi}{t} p_t^{(d)}(|\mathbf{x} - \xi|) \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{av \cos^3 \theta}\right)\right].$$

As is clear from Theorem 2.4 the distribution of $B(\sigma_a)$ converges to the Dirac delta measure at $a\mathbf{e}$, the north pole of $\partial U(a)$, as $v \rightarrow \infty$. The distribution may be normalized so as to approach a positive multiple of the non-degenerate measure $\cos \theta m_a(d\xi)$ in obvious manner, even though the density has singularity along the circumference. The next corollary states this in terms of the colatitude $\Theta(\sigma_a) := \theta(B(\sigma_a))$ of $B(\sigma_a)$ (see also Lemma 5.6).

Corollary 2.2. *As $v := x/t \rightarrow \infty$ under $t > a^2$*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2\pi}{av}\right)^{(d-1)/2} e^{av(1-\cos \theta)} P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\Theta(\sigma_a) \in d\theta \mid \sigma_a = t] \\ \implies \omega_{d-2} \mathbf{1}(0 \leq \theta \leq \tfrac{1}{2}\pi) \cos \theta \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{1}(\mathcal{S})$ is the indicator function of a statement $\mathcal{S}$, ‘ $\Rightarrow$ ’ signifies the weak convergence of finite measures on $\mathbb{R}$ (in fact the convergence holds in the total variation norm) and $\omega_0 = 2$.

The essential content involved in Theorem 2.4 concerns the two-dimensional Brownian motion even if it includes the higher-dimensional one (cf. Section 6).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we deal with the case when x/t is bounded and prove Theorems 2.1 through 2.3. In Section 4 we provide several preliminary estimates of the hitting distribution density mainly for $t < 1$, that prepare for verification of Theorem 2.4 made in Section 5 for the case $d = 2$ and in Section 6 for the case $d \geq 3$. Proposition 2.1 is obtained in Section 5.1 as a byproduct of a preliminary result for the proof of Theorem 2.4. In Section 7 the results obtained are applied to the corresponding problem for Brownian motion with drift. In the final section, Appendix, we present a classical formula for the hitting distribution of $U(a)$ and give a comment on an approach to the present problem based on it.

3 Proofs of Theorems 2.1 through 2.3

This section consists of three subsections. In the first subsection we let $d = 2$ and prove Theorem 2.1. The proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 are given in the rest. The essential ideas for all of them are already found in the first subsection.

Our proofs involve Bessel processes of varying order ν and it is convenient to introduce notation specific to them. Let X_t be a Bessel process of order $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and denote by $P_x^{BS(\nu)}$ and $E_x^{BS(\nu)}$ the probability law of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ started at $x \geq 0$ and the expectation w.r.t. it, respectively. If $\nu = -1/2$, it is a standard Brownian motion and we write P_x^{BM} for $P_x^{BS(-1/2)}$. With this convention we suppose $\nu \geq 0$ in what follows, so that $X_t \geq 0$ a.s. under $P_x^{BS(\nu)}$ ($x \geq 0$). The expression $2\nu + 2$ which is not integral may appear, while the letter d always designates a positive integer signifying the dimension of B_t , a d -dimensional Brownian motion under a probability law $P_{\mathbf{x}}$.

Let T_a denote the first passage time of a for X_t : $T_a = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t = a\}$.

3.1. THE CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION OF $\arg B(\sigma_a)$ ($d = 2$).

The proofs of Theorems 2.1 and the case $d = 2$ of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 rest on the following

Proposition 3.1. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $x > a$ and $t > 0$,

$$E_{x\mathbf{e}}[e^{i\lambda \arg B(\sigma_a)} \mid \sigma_a = t] = \frac{q_a^{(2|\lambda|+2)}(x, t)}{q_a^{(2)}(x, t)} \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{|\lambda|}.$$

In this subsection we first exhibit how this proposition leads to Theorem 2.1, then prove two lemmas concerning Bessel processes and used in later subsections as well, and finally prove Proposition 3.1 by using these lemmas.

3.1.1. DEDUCTION OF THEOREM 2.1 FROM PROPOSITION 3.1. On using Theorem A and (2.3) in turn, as $x/t \rightarrow v > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q_a^{(2|\lambda|+2)}(x, t)}{q_a^{(2)}(x, t)} \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{|\lambda|} &\sim \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{|\lambda|} \frac{a^{2|\lambda|} \Lambda_{|\lambda|}(av) p_t^{(2|\lambda|+2)}(x)}{\Lambda_0(av) p_t^{(2)}(x)} \\ &\sim \frac{K_0(av)}{K_{|\lambda|}(av)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Noting that $K_{-\nu}(z) = K_\nu(z)$, we obtain the identity of Theorem 2.1 according to Proposition 3.1. $\square$

3.1.2. TWO LEMMAS BASED ON THE CAMERON MARTIN FORMULA. It is consistent to our notation to write

$$q_a^{(1)}(x, t) = \frac{P_x^{BM}[T_a \in dt]}{dt} = \frac{x-a}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-(x-a)^2/2t} \quad (x > a). \quad (3.2)$$

Recall that $q_a^\nu = q_a^{(2\nu+2)}$, and $P_x^{BS(\nu)}$, P_x^{BM} , X_t and T_a are introduced at the beginning of this section.

Lemma 3.1. Put $\beta_\nu = \frac{1}{8}(1 - 4\nu^2)$ ($\nu \geq 0$). Then

$$q_a^\nu(x, t) = q_a^{(1)}(x, t) \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} E_x^{BM} \left[\exp \left\{ \beta_\nu \int_0^t \frac{ds}{X_s^2} \right\} \middle| T_a = t \right]. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. We apply the formula of drift transform (based on the Cameron Martin formula). Put $Z(t) = e^{\int_0^t \gamma(X_s) dX_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \gamma^2(X_s) ds}$, where $\gamma(x) = (\nu + \frac{1}{2})x^{-1}$ and X_t is a linear Brownian motion. Then

$$\int_{t-h}^t q_a^\nu(x, s) ds = P_x^{BS(\nu)}[t-h \leq T_a < t] = E_x^{BM}[Z(t); t-h \leq T_a < t] \quad (3.4)$$

for $0 < h < t$. By Ito's formula we have $\int_0^t dX_s/X_s = \log(X_t/X_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t ds/X_s^2$ ($t < T_0$). Hence

$$Z(T_a) = \left(\frac{a}{X_0}\right)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[\frac{1-4\nu^2}{8} \int_0^{T_a} \frac{ds}{X_s^2} \right],$$

which together with (3.4) leads to the identity (3.3).

Lemma 3.2. For $\lambda \geq 0$

$$E_x^{BS(\nu)} \left[\exp \left\{ -\frac{\lambda(\lambda + 2\nu)}{2} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{X_s^2} \right\} \middle| T_a = t \right] = \left(\frac{x}{a} \right)^\lambda \frac{q_a^{\lambda+\nu}(x, t)}{q_a^\nu(x, t)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Write $\tau = \int_0^t X_s^{-2} ds$. By the same drift transformation as applied in the preceding proof we see

$$\frac{E_x^{BS(\nu)} [e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda(\lambda+2\nu)\tau}; T_a \in dt]}{dt} = q_a^{(1)}(x, t) \left(\frac{a}{x} \right)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} E_x^{BM} [e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda(\lambda+2\nu)\tau} e^{\beta_\nu \tau} | T_a = t].$$

Noting $-\frac{1}{2}\lambda(\lambda + 2\nu) + \beta_\nu = \beta_{\lambda+\nu}$ we apply (3.3) with $\lambda + \nu$ in place of ν to see that the right-hand side above is equal to $(x/a)^\lambda q_a^{\lambda+\nu}(x, t)$, while the left-hand side is equal to that of (3.5) multiplied by $q_a^\nu(x, t)$, hence we have (3.5). $\square$

3.1.3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1. For the proof we apply the skew product representation of two-dimensional Brownian motion. Let $Y(\cdot)$ be a standard linear Brownian motion with $Y(0) = 0$ independent of $|B_\cdot|$. Then $\arg B_t - \arg B_0$ has the same law as $Y(\int_0^t |B_s|^{-2} ds)$ ([6]), so that

$$E_{x\mathbf{e}} [e^{i\lambda \arg B(\sigma_a)}; \sigma_a \in dt] = E_{x\mathbf{e}} \otimes E^Y [e^{i\lambda Y(\int_0^t |B_s|^{-2} ds)}; \sigma_a \in dt]$$

where E^Y denotes the expectation with respect to the probability measure of $Y(\cdot)$ and $\otimes$ signifies the direct product of measures (with an abuse of notation). Note that $|B_t|$ is a two-dimensional Bessel process (of order $\nu = 0$) and take the conditional expectation of $e^{i\lambda Y(\int_0^t |B_s|^{-2} ds)}$ given $|B_s|, s \geq t$ to find the equality

$$E_{x\mathbf{e}} [e^{i\lambda \arg B(\sigma_a)} | \sigma_a = t] = E_x^{BS(0)} \left[\exp \left\{ -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^t X_s^{-2} ds \right\} \middle| T_a = t \right],$$

of which, by formula (3.5), the right-hand side equals

$$(x/a)^{|\lambda|} q_a^{|\lambda|}(x, t) / q_a^0(x, t),$$

showing the required identity. $\square$

Let $b > a$. Then for each $s > 0$, the ratio $q_b^{(2)}(x, t-s)/q_a^{(2)}(x, t)$ is asymptotic to $\sqrt{b/a} e^{(b-a)v} e^{-\frac{1}{2}v^2 s}$ as $x/t \rightarrow v$, $t \rightarrow \infty$ and, on considering the hitting of $U(b)$, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} & f_a(\theta; v) d\theta \\ &= \lim \frac{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\mathbf{x}}[\arg B_{\sigma_b} \in d\theta' | \sigma_b = t-s] q_b^{(2)}(x, t-s) P_{be^{i\theta'}}[\arg B_{\sigma_a} \in d\theta, \sigma_a \in ds]}{q_a^{(2)}(x, t)} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} e^{(b-a)v} \int_{\mathbb{R}} E_{be^{i\theta'}} [e^{-v^2 \sigma_a/2}; \arg B_{\sigma_a} \in d\theta] f_b(\theta'; v) d\theta' \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

(with an appropriate interpretation of $\arg B_{\sigma_a}$ under $P_{be^{i\theta'}}$), which shows that $f_a(\theta; v) > 0$ for all θ and all $v > 0$.

3.2. AN UPPER BOUND OF $q_1^{(2\lambda+2)}(x, t)$ FOR LARGE λ .

For the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 we need a pertinent upper bound of the characteristic function appearing in Proposition 3.1 for large integral values of λ . To this end we prove Lemma 3.3 below. The result is extended to non-integral values of λ in Lemma 3.5 that verifies the uniform convergence of the limit appearing in (2.6) of the conditional density for $\arg B(\sigma_a)$.

3.2.1. Here we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *There exists constants C_1 and $A_1 > 0$ such that for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $t > 1$ and $x > 1$,*

$$q_1^{(2n+2)}(x, t) \leq C_1 (A_1/n)^n p_{t+1/n}^{(2n+2)}(x). \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. By the identity

$$p_{t+\varepsilon}^{(2n+2)}(x) = \int_0^{t+\varepsilon} q_1^{(2n+2)}(x, t + \varepsilon - s) p_s^{(2n+2)}(1) ds$$

we have

$$p_{t+\varepsilon}^{(2n+2)}(x) \geq \left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon} q_1^{(2n+2)}(x, t + s) \right] \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{e^{-1/2s}}{(2\pi s)^{n+1}} ds$$

for every $0 < \varepsilon < t$. We choose $\varepsilon = 1/n$ and evaluate the last integral from below to see

$$\int_0^{1/n} \frac{e^{-1/2s}}{(2\pi s)^{n+1}} ds = \int_{n/2}^\infty e^{-u} u^{n-1} \frac{du}{2\pi^{n+1}} \geq \frac{A_0}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{n}{e\pi} \right)^n$$

for some universal constant $A_0 > 0$. If $x > 2$, we apply the inequality of Harnack type given in the next lemma to find the inequality (3.7).

It remains to deal with the case $1 < x < 2$, which however can be reduced to the case $x = 2$. Indeed, by partitioning the whole event according as 2 is reached before $t/2$ or not, we see (by recalling $q_1^{(2n+2)} = q_1^n$) that if $1 < x < 2$,

$$q_1^n(x, t) = P_x^{BS(n)}[T_1 \wedge T_2 > t/2] \sup_{1 < y < 2} q^n(y, t/2) + \sup_{t/2 \leq s < t} q_1^n(2, s).$$

The required upper bound of the second term on the right-hand side follows from the result for $x = 2$ since $p_s^{(2n+2)}(2) \leq 4^{n+1} p_{t+1/n}^{(2n+2)}(x)$ for $t/2 \leq s < t$. As for the first term, by Lemma 3.1 we infer that the supremum involved in it is bounded by a universal constant (since $\beta_\nu \leq 0$ for $\nu \geq 1$). On the other hand, by the same drift transform that is used in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we see

$$\begin{aligned} P_x^{BS(n)}[T_1 \wedge T_2 > \tfrac{t}{2}] &= E_x^{BM} \left[\left(\frac{X_{t/2}}{x} \right)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ \beta_n \int_0^{t/2} \frac{ds}{X_s^2} \right\}; T_1 \wedge T_2 > \tfrac{t}{2} \right] \\ &\leq e^{1/8} 2^{n+1/2} e^{-n^2 t/16} P_x^{BM}[T_1 \wedge T_2 > t/2], \end{aligned}$$

which is enough for the required bound. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *There exist constants $C_2 > 1$ and $A_2 > 0$ such that whenever $x \geq 2$ and $n = 2, 3, \dots$,*

$$q_1^{(n)}(x, t - \tau) \leq C_2 A_2^n q_1^{(n)}(x, t) \quad \text{for } t > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq 1/n,$$

or, equivalently, $q_1^{(n)}(x, t) \leq C_2 A_2^n \inf_{0 \leq s \leq 1/n} q_1^{(n)}(x, t + s)$ for $t > 1 - 1/n$.

Proof. Let Q be the hyper-cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of side length 2 and centered at the origin and put $D = \{(\mathbf{y}, s) : \mathbf{y} \in Q, 0 < s < 1 + \tau\}$, the cubic cylinder with the base $Q \times \{0\}$ and of height $1 + \tau$. The function $u(\mathbf{y}, s) := q_1^{(n)}(|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}|, t - s)$ satisfies the equation $\partial_s u + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j^2 u = 0$ in D , where ∂_j denotes the partial derivative w.r.t. the j -th coordinate of $\mathbf{y}$. Let $p_s^0(x, y)$ be the heat kernel on the physical space $[-1, 1]$ with zero Dirichlet boundary and put

$$p_s^0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \prod_{j=1}^n p_s^0(x_j, y_j) \quad \text{and} \quad K(\mathbf{S}, s) = \pm \partial_j p_s^0(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y})|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{S}},$$

where the sign is chosen so that $\pm \partial_j$ becomes inner normal derivative at $\mathbf{S} \in \partial Q$. Then

$$u(\mathbf{0}, \tau) = \int_{\partial Q} d\mathbf{S} \int_{\tau}^1 K(\mathbf{S}, s - \tau) u(\mathbf{S}, s) ds + \int_Q p_{1-\tau}^0(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{y}, 1) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Since all the functions involved in these two integrals are non-negative, we have

$$q_1^{(n)}(x, t) = u(\mathbf{0}, 0) \geq \int_{\partial Q} d\mathbf{S} \int_{\tau}^1 K(\mathbf{S}, s) u(\mathbf{S}, s) ds + \int_Q p_1^0(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{y}, 1) d\mathbf{y},$$

and, comparing the right-hand side with the integral representation of $u(\mathbf{0}, \tau) = q_1^{(n)}(x, t - \tau)$, we have $q^{(n)}(x, t - \tau) \leq M_n q^{(n)}(x, t)$, where $M_n = M'_n \vee M''_n$ with

$$M'_n = \sup_{\mathbf{S}} \sup_{\tau < s < 1} \frac{K(\mathbf{S}, s - \tau)}{K(\mathbf{S}, s)}, \quad M''_n = \sup_{\mathbf{y}} \frac{p_{1-\tau}^0(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y})}{p_1^0(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y})}.$$

We must find a positive constant A_2 for which $M_n < C_2 A_2^n$ if $\tau < 1/n$. By the reflection principle we have

$$p_s^0(0, y) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k p_s^{(1)}(y - 2k).$$

Since $\sup_y p_{1-\tau}^0(0, y)/p_1^0(0, y)$ tends to unity as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, we have $M''_n < 2^n$ for all τ small enough. To find an upper bound of M'_n we deduce the following bounds: for some constant $C \geq 1$,

$$\frac{p_{s-\tau}^0(0, y)}{p_s^0(0, y)} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{s}{s-\tau}} \quad \text{for } \tau < s \leq 1, |y| < 1; \text{ and} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\frac{2}{s} p_s^{(1)}(1) - \frac{6}{s} p_s^{(1)}(3) < \mp \frac{\partial}{\partial y} p_s^0(0, y) \Big|_{y=\pm 1} < \frac{2}{s} p_s^{(1)}(1) \quad \text{for } 0 < s \leq 1. \quad (3.9)$$

The inequalities in (3.9) are easy to show and its proof is omitted. As for (3.8) we observe that if $\tau \leq s/2$, then $s > s - \tau > s/2$ so that the ratio on the left is bounded, while uniformly for $|y| > 1/2$ and for $\tau > s/2$, the ratio tends to zero as $s \rightarrow 0$; in the remaining case

$|y| \leq 1/2$, $s/2 < \tau < s$ the inequality (3.8) is obvious. From (3.8) and (3.9) we see that if $s \geq 2\tau$, $M'_n < (C2^{1/2})^n$; also if $\tau < s < 2\tau$, then for $\tau = 1/n$ small enough,

$$M'_n < 2 \left[C \sqrt{\frac{s}{s-\tau}} \right]^n \exp \left\{ -\frac{\tau}{2(s-\tau)s} \right\} \leq 2C^n \exp \left\{ -\frac{n}{2(u-1)u} + \frac{n}{2} \lg \frac{1}{u-1} \right\},$$

where we put $u = s/\tau$. Thus, putting $m = \sup_{1 \leq u \leq 2} \left[-\frac{1}{2(u-1)u} + \frac{1}{2} \lg \frac{1}{u-1} \right]$ we have $M'_n \leq 2(Ce^m)^n$. The proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

3.2.2. CONVERGENCE OF THE DENSITY FOR $\arg B_t$ CONDITIONED ON $\sigma_a = t$ ($d = 2$). Here we prove that the convergence in (2.6) holds uniformly in θ locally uniformly in v .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $d = 2$. For each $M > 1$, uniformly for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in (a, Mt)$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\frac{P_{xe}[\arg B(\sigma_a) \in d\theta \mid \sigma_a = t]}{d\theta} = f_a(\theta; x/t)(1 + o(1)).$$

For the proof we need the following extension of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.5. *There exist constants C and $A > 0$ such that for all $\lambda > 1$, $t > 1$ and $x > 1$,*

$$q_1^{(2\lambda+2)}(x, t) \leq C(t/x)^\delta (A/\lambda)^\lambda p_{t+1/\lambda}^{(2\lambda+2)}(x). \quad (3.10)$$

Here δ denotes the fractional part of λ .

Proof. We may and do suppose $\lambda \in (n, n+1)$ for a positive integer n . Remember that $(P_x^{BS(\nu)}, X_t)$ designates a Bessel process of dimension $2\nu + 2$. Put $\delta = \lambda - n$ and $\gamma(y) = \delta/y$. Then the drift transform gives

$$P_x^{BS(\lambda)}[\Gamma; T_1 \geq t] = E_x^{BS(n)}[Z(t); \Gamma, T_1 \geq t]$$

for any event Γ measurable w.r.t. $(X_s)_{s \leq t}$ (cf. e.g. [5]). Here, since the drift term of X_t under $P^{BS(n)}$ equals $(2n+1)/2X_t$,

$$Z(t) = \int_0^t \gamma(X_s) dX_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left[\frac{\gamma(X_t)(2n+1)}{X_t} + [\gamma(X_s)]^2 \right] ds.$$

By Ito's formula we have $\int_0^t \gamma(X_s) dX_s = \delta \lg(X_t/X_0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \gamma'(X_s) ds$. Observing $\gamma'(y) + (2n+1)\gamma(y)/y + \gamma^2(y) = (2n\delta + \delta^2)/y^2$, as in Section 3.1.2 we find

$$q_1^{(2\lambda+2)}(x, t) = x^{-\delta} E_x^{BS(n)}[e^{-\frac{1}{2}(2n\delta + \delta^2) \int_0^t X_s^{-2} ds} \mid T_1 = t] q_1^{(2n+2)}(x, t).$$

The conditional expectation being dominated by unity, substitution from Lemma 3.3 yields

$$q_1^{(2\lambda+2)}(x, t) \leq (t/x)^\delta t^{-\delta} C_1 (A_1/n)^n p_{t+1/n}^{(2n+2)}(x) \leq C(t/x)^\delta n^\delta (A_1/\lambda)^\lambda p_{t+1/\lambda}^{(2\lambda+2)}(x),$$

showing the inequality of the lemma with any $A > A_1$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $a = 1$. From the lemma above and Proposition 3.1 we see that for $t > 1, x > 1$,

$$E_{x\mathbf{e}}[e^{i\lambda \arg B(\sigma_a)} | \sigma_1 = t] \leq C' \left[1 \vee \lg \frac{t}{x}\right]^2 \left(\frac{A}{|\lambda|}\right)^{|\lambda|} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{|\lambda|-\delta} \exp \frac{(x/t)^2}{2|\lambda|}, \quad n < |\lambda| \leq n+1,$$

where we have also used the lower bound $q_1^{(2)}(x, t) \geq C[1 \vee \lg(t/x)]^{-2} p_t^{(2)}(x)$. On recalling (2.7) as well as Theorem 2.1 this shows that the characteristic function on the left converges to $K_0(x/t)/K_\lambda(x/t)$, the Fourier transform of $f_1(\cdot, x/t)$, in $L_1(d\lambda)$ uniformly for $x/t < M$, hence the uniform convergence asserted in the lemma. $\square$

3.3. DISTRIBUTION OF $\Theta(\sigma_a)$.

In this subsection we give proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3. To facilitate the exposition we first introduce the conditional density $g(\theta; x, t)$. We then expand g into a series of spherical functions which almost immediately leads to (a refined version of) Theorem 2.2 and to Theorem 2.3.

3.3.1. THE CONDITIONAL DENSITY $g(\theta; x, t)$. Let $\theta(\xi)$ denote the colatitude of $\xi \in \partial U(a)$ as before. By rotational symmetry around the axis $\eta\mathbf{e}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ we can define $g(\theta; x, t)$ by

$$g(\theta; x, t) := \frac{P_{x\mathbf{e}}[B(\sigma_a) \in d\xi | \sigma_a = t]}{m_a(d\xi)}, \quad \theta = \theta(\xi) \in [0, \pi]. \quad (3.11)$$

Denote the colatitude $\theta(B_t)$ by $\Theta_t \in [0, \pi]$, so that

$$\cos \Theta_t = \mathbf{e} \cdot B_t / |B_t|.$$

Let $d \geq 3$ and $d\xi = a^{d-1} \sin^{d-2} \theta \, do \times d\theta$, where do designates a $(d-2)$ -dimensional surface element of $(d-2)$ -dimensional unit sphere. Then $m_a(d\xi) = \sin^{d-2} \theta \, d\theta |do| / \omega_{d-1}$ and we see that

$$g(\theta; x, t) = \frac{P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\Theta(\sigma_a) \in d\theta | \sigma_a = t]}{\mu_d^{-1} \sin^{d-2} \theta \, d\theta}. \quad (3.12)$$

Here $\mu_d = \int_0^\pi \sin^{d-2} \theta \, d\theta = \omega_{d-1} / \omega_{d-2}$.

When $d = 2$, we have $\Theta_t = |\text{Arg } B_t|$ and

$$g(|\theta|; x, t) = 2\pi \frac{P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\text{Arg } B(\sigma_a) \in d\theta | \sigma_a = t]}{d\theta}, \quad \theta \in (-\pi, \pi).$$

Thus the measure $g(|\theta|; x, t) d\theta / 2\pi$ on $|\theta| \leq \pi$ is the probability law of $\text{Arg } B(\sigma_a)$ under $P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\cdot | \sigma_a = t]$ and we may/should naturally regard $g(|\theta|; x, t)$ as a (continuous) function on the torus $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \cong [-\pi, \pi]$. It is noted that by letting $\omega_0 = 2$ so that $\mu_2 = \pi$, the last expression conforms to (3.12). (In (3.12) the differential quotient at the end point $\theta = 0$ (or π) is understood to be the right (resp. left) derivative of the distribution function.)

3.3.2. SERIES EXPANSION OF $g(\theta; x, t)$ WHEN $d = 2$. Let $d = 2$ and $g(\theta, x, t)$ be given as above. Denote by $\alpha_n = \alpha_n(x, t)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ the coefficients of the *Fourier cosine series* of $g(\theta) = g(\theta; x, t)$, $\theta \in [0, \pi]$: $\alpha_0 = \pi^{-1} \int_0^\pi g(\theta) d\theta = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$,

$$\alpha_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi g(\theta) \cos n\theta \, d\theta = 2E_{x\mathbf{e}}[\cos n\Theta(\sigma_a) | \sigma_a = t],$$

so that

$$g(\theta; x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(t, x) \cos n\theta, \quad (3.13)$$

where the Fourier series is uniformly convergent (with any x, t fixed) as one may infer from the smoothness of g (or alternatively from our estimation of α_n given in (3.17) below). Since $E_{x\mathbf{e}}[\cos n\Theta(\sigma_a) \mid \sigma_a = t] = E_{x\mathbf{e}}[e^{in \arg B(\sigma_a)} \mid \sigma_a = t]$, substitution from Proposition 3.1 yields

$$\alpha_n(x, t) = 2 \frac{q_a^{(2n+2)}(x, t)}{q_a^{(2)}(x, t)} \left(\frac{x}{a} \right)^n. \quad (3.14)$$

Based on this formula we derive the next result that provides an exact asymptotic form of the error term in Theorem 2.2 (i). (As another possibility one may use a classical formula for $g(\theta; x, t)q_a^{(2)}(x, t)$ that we give in Appendix.)

Theorem 3.2. *Let $d = 2$. Uniformly for $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ and $x > a$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ with $x/t \rightarrow 0$,*

$$g(\theta; x, t) = 1 + \frac{ax}{t} \ell_0(x, t) \left[(1 + o(1)) \cos \theta + O\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \right],$$

where

$$\ell_0(x, t) = \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{x^2}\right) \frac{(\lg t)^2}{2 \lg(x/a)} \quad \text{if } 1 < x < \sqrt{t}; \text{ and } = 2 \lg \frac{t}{x} \quad \text{if } x > \sqrt{t}.$$

Proof. By elementary computation we deduce from Theorem A and (3.14) that

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{ax}{t} \ell_0(x, t) (1 + o(1)) \quad (3.15)$$

as $x/t \rightarrow 0$. Plainly $\alpha_n(x, t) \geq 0$. It therefore suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n(x, t) = O\left(\frac{x^2}{t^2} \ell_0(x, t)\right). \quad (3.16)$$

Although Theorem A also yields $\alpha_n(x, t) = O\left((x/t)^n \ell_0(x, t)\right)$ for each $n = 2, 3, \dots$, for the present purpose we need an upper bound valid uniformly in n . Such a uniform bound is provided by Lemma 3.3 and on using it

$$\alpha_n(x, t) \leq C_2 \frac{A_1^n}{n^n} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^n \frac{e^{-x^2/2t}}{t q_1^{(2)}(x, t)} \leq C_3 \frac{A_1^n}{n^n} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^n \ell_0(x, t), \quad (3.17)$$

which implies (3.16). □

3.3.3. SERIES EXPANSION OF $g(\theta; x, t)$ WHEN $d \geq 3$. Recall

$$P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\Theta(\sigma_a) \in d\theta \mid \sigma_a = t] = \mu_d^{-1} g(\theta; x, t) \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $d \geq 3$. For $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ and $x > a$,*

$$g(\theta; x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{a} \right)^n \frac{q_a^{n+\nu}(x, t)}{q_a^\nu(x, t)} h_n(0) h_n(\theta),$$

where $h_n(\theta)$ denotes the n -th normalized eigenfunction of the Legendre process of order ν (see Section 6).

Proof. Let $(P_\theta^{L(\nu)}, \Theta_t)$ denote the Legendre process (on the state space $[0, \pi]$) of order ν . Then by the skew product representation of d -dimensional Brownian motion we have

$$P_{\mathbf{x}}[\Theta(\sigma_a) \in d\theta, \sigma_a \in dt] = (P_{\theta_0}^{L(\nu)} \otimes P_x^{BS(\nu)})[\Theta_\tau \in d\theta \mid T_a = t] q^{(d)}(x, t),$$

where $\tau = \int_0^{T_a} X_s^{-2} ds$ and θ_0 is the colatitude of $\mathbf{x}$. We apply the spectral expansion of the density of the distribution of Θ_t (see (6.1)) and Lemma 3.2 in turn to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & (P_{\theta_0}^{L(\nu)} \otimes P_x^{BS(\nu)})[\Theta_\tau \in d\theta \mid T_a = t] / d\theta \\ &= E_x^{BS(\nu)} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{n(n+2\nu)}{2} \tau \right\} h_n(\theta_0) h_n(\theta) \frac{\sin^{d-2} \theta}{\mu_d} \mid T_a = t \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu_d} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{a} \right)^n \frac{q_a^{n+\nu}(x, t)}{q_a^\nu(x, t)} h_n(\theta_0) h_n(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Comparing this with (3.12) shows the formula of the theorem. $\square$

In view of the defining identity (3.11) the case $d \geq 3$ of Theorem 2.2 follows from

Corollary 3.1. *Let $d \geq 3$. Uniformly for $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ and $x > a$, as $x/t \rightarrow 0$*

$$g(\theta; x, t) = 1 + \frac{ax}{t} \left[\frac{1 - (a/x)^d}{1 - (a/x)^{d-2}} \left(\frac{d}{d-2} + o(1) \right) \cos \theta + O\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \right].$$

Proof. The asserted formula is derived as in the case $d = 2$ by observing that $h_1(0)h_1(\theta) = 2(\nu + 1) \cos \theta$ (see Section 6.1.1) and

$$\frac{x q_a^{1+\nu}(x, t)}{a q_a^\nu(x, t)} \sim \frac{ax}{t} \cdot \frac{1 - (a/x)^{2+2\nu}}{2\nu(1 - (a/x)^{2\nu})} (1 + o(1)).$$

$\square$

3.3.4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3 proceeds as follows. For $d = 2$ Theorem 3.3 is valid with $h_n(0)h_n(\theta)$ replaced by $2 \cos n\theta$ if $n \geq 1$ as we have already observed (see (3.13) and (3.14)); here it is warned that if $d = 2$ the product $h_n(\theta_0)h_n(\theta)$ must be replaced by $2 \cos n(\theta - \theta_0)$ ($n \geq 1$) in (3.18). In any case substitution from (3.1) gives the relation of Theorem 2.3 for $d = 2$ at a formal level. The relation (3.1) is immediately extended to

$$\frac{q_a^{|\lambda|+\nu}(x, t)}{q_a^\nu(x, t)} \left(\frac{x}{a} \right)^{|\lambda|} \sim \frac{K_\nu(av)}{K_{\nu+|\lambda|}(av)} \quad (x/t \rightarrow v).$$

With these remarks as well as (3.7) taken into account we obtain from (3.13) and Theorem 3.3 that for all $d \geq 2$, as $x/t \rightarrow v$

$$g(\theta; x, t) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{K_{\nu}(av)}{K_{\nu+n}(av)} b_n h_n(\theta) \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly in $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ and $0 < v < M$ for each M . Here $b_n h_n(\theta) = 2 \cos n\theta$ for $n \geq 1$ if $d = 2$ and $b_n = h_n(0)$ if $d \geq 3$. This shows Theorem 2.3 except for identification of the constant factor in the case $d \geq 3$, which we give at the last line of Section 6.1.1.

REMARK 3. There exists an unbounded and increasing positive function $C(v)$, $v > 0$ such that $C(0+) \geq 1$ and

$$1/C(x/t) \leq g(\theta; x, t) \leq C(x/t) \quad (0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, t > 1).$$

The upper bound follows from (3.13), Theorem 3.3 and estimates like (3.17), while the lower bound can be verified by an argument analogous to the one as made at (3.6) (or in Section 5.4).

4 Estimates of the hitting density for $t < 1$

Put for $z > a$

$$h_a(z, t, \phi) = \frac{P_{z\mathbf{e}}[\Theta(\sigma_a) \in d\phi, \sigma_a \in dt]}{\mu_d^{-1} \sin^{d-2} \phi \, d\phi dt}, \quad \phi \in [0, \pi], \quad (4.1)$$

or, by means of $g = g_a$ given in (3.12),

$$h_a(z, t, \phi) = g_a(\phi; z, t) q_a^{(d)}(z, t);$$

recall that $g_a(\phi; z, t)$ represents the density with respect to $m_a(d\xi)dt$ evaluated at ξ with colatitude $\phi = \theta(\xi)$ of the hitting site distribution conditional on $\sigma_a = t$ and $B_0 = z\mathbf{e}$. In view of rotational symmetry of Brownian motion it follows that for any $\xi \in \partial U(a)$ with $\mathbf{z} \cdot \xi/xa = \cos \theta$ and $\mathbf{z} \notin U(a)$,

$$h_a(|\mathbf{z}|, t, \theta) := \frac{P_{\mathbf{z}}[B(\sigma_a) \in d\xi, \sigma_a \in dt]}{m_a(d\xi)dt}.$$

In this section we provide some upper and lower bounds of $h_a(z, t, \phi)$ for $t < 1$, which are used in the next section for estimation of it when z/t along with t tends to infinity. We include certain easier results for $t \geq 1$. The main results of this section are given in Lemmas 4.5 and 4.8. For all dimensions $d \geq 2$ the function $h_a(z, t, \phi)$ satisfies the scaling relation

$$h_a(z, t, \phi) = a^{-2} h_1(z/a, t/a^2, \phi).$$

Throughout this section X_t always denotes a standard linear Brownian motion. As in the preceding section P_y^{BM} and E_y^{BM} denote the probability and expectation for X_t , and T_y the first passage time of X to y . We shall apply the skew product representation of

d -dimensional Brownian motion and the Bessel processes of dimensions $d \geq 2$ will become relevant. However, most of the results of this section that actually concerns the Bessel processes follows from the one for the linear Brownian motion X_t because of the boundedness of the Radon-Nikodym density $Z(t)$ ($t < 1$) that is given in the proof of Lemma 3.1 (see Remark 4 below for more details).

4.1. SOME BASIC ESTIMATES.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $b > 0$. For $0 < y < b$ and $0 < t \leq b^2$,*

$$\frac{P_y^{BM}[T_0 \in dt, T_b < T_0]}{dt} \leq C \frac{yb^2}{t^2} p_t^{(1)}(b).$$

Proof. By reflection principle it follows that

$$\frac{P_y^{BM}[T_0 \in dt, T_0 < T_b]}{dt} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (2nb + y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{(2nb + y)^2}{2t} \right\}$$

([7], (8.26)). Writing the right-hand side above in terms of $q_a^{(1)}$ (cf. (3.2)) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_y^{BM}[T_0 \in dt, T_b < T_0]}{dt} &= q_0^{(1)}(y, t) - \frac{P_y^{BM}[T_0 \in dt, T_0 < T_b]}{dt} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [q_0^{(1)}(2nb - y, t) - q_0^{(1)}(2nb + y, t)]. \end{aligned}$$

On using the mean value theorem the difference under the summation symbol is dominated by

$$\frac{2y}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \frac{[(2n+1)b]^2}{t} e^{-[(2n-1)b]^2/2t} \quad (0 < y < b, 0 < t < b^2).$$

By easy domination of these terms for $n \geq 2$ we find the upper bound of the lemma. $\square$

REMARK 4. Lemma 4.1 is extended to d -dimensional Bessel Processes $|B_t|$ with essentially the same bound if the positions $0, y$ and b are raised to $a, a + y$ and $a + b$, respectively, by using the drift transformation. For later reference here we give it in the form

$$P_{(a+y)\mathbf{e}}[A \mid \sigma_a = t] = c_a(y, t) E_{a+y}^{BM}[e^{\beta_\nu \int_0^t X_s^{-2} ds}; A^X \mid T_a = t], \quad (4.2)$$

where $\beta_\nu = \frac{1}{8}(1 - 4\nu^2) = \frac{1}{8}(d-1)(3-d)$, A is an event of the process $|B_s|, 0 \leq s \leq t$, A^X the corresponding one for X and

$$c_a(y, t) := \left(\frac{a}{a+y} \right)^{(d-1)/2} \frac{q_a^{(1)}(a+y, t)}{q_a^{(d)}(a+y, t)} = 1 + O\left(\frac{t}{a(a+y)} \right) \quad (0 < t < a^2, y > 0).$$

(The last equality follows from Theorem B.)

Lemma 4.2. *For $\alpha > 0$ there is a constant $\kappa_{\alpha, d}$ (depending on d, α) such that*

$$E_{(1+y)\mathbf{e}} \left[\left(\int_0^t \frac{ds}{|B_s|^2} \right)^{-\alpha} \mid \tau_{U(1+\lambda)} < t, \sigma_1 = t \right] \leq \kappa_{\alpha, d} (1 + \lambda^{2\alpha}) t^{-\alpha}$$

for $\lambda > 0, 0 < y < \lambda$ and $t < \lambda^2$, where $\tau_{U(b)}$ denotes the first exit time from $U(b)$.

Proof. The proof is given only for the case $d = 1$. Put $M_t = \max_{s \leq t} X_s$. Then the conditional expectation in the lemma multiplied by t^α is at most

$$E_y^{BM}[(1 + M_t)^{2\alpha} | T_\lambda < T_0 = t] \leq 4^\alpha + 4^\alpha \frac{E_y^{BM}[M_t^{2\alpha}; T_\lambda < t | T_0 = t]}{P_y^{BM}[T_\lambda < t | T_0 = t]}.$$

The last ratio may be expressed as a weighted average of $E_\lambda^{BM}[M_{t-s}^{2\alpha} | T_0 = t-s]$ over $0 \leq s \leq t$, which, by virtue of scaling property, is dominated by $C'_\alpha \lambda^{2\alpha}$, yielding the desired bound. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a constant κ_d depending only on d such that for $0 < \lambda \leq 8$,*

$$h_a(a + y, t, \phi) \leq \kappa_d \frac{a^{2\nu+1}y}{t} \left(p_t^{(1)}(y) p_t^{(d-1)}(a\phi) + \frac{(\lambda a)^2}{t} p_t^{(d)}(\lambda a) \right)$$

whenever $0 \leq \phi < \pi$, $0 < y < \lambda a$ and $0 < t < (\lambda a)^2$.

Proof. We may let $a = 1$. Suppose $d = 2$. Let $(P^Y, (Y_t))$ be a standard Brownian motion on the torus $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ (identified with $(-\pi, \pi]$) that is started at 0 and independent of $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Then by skew product representation of B_t

$$h_1(1 + y, t, \phi) = 2\pi(P^Y \otimes P_{(1+y)\mathbf{e}})[Y_\tau \in d\phi, \sigma_1 \in dt]/d\phi dt, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\tau = \int_0^{\sigma_1} |B_s|^{-2} ds$ and $\otimes$ signifies the direct product of measures. We rewrite this identity by means of the linear Brownian motion X_t only. Because of translation invariance of the law of the increment of X_t we shift the starting point of X_t so that $X_0 = y$ and define

$$\tau^X = \int_0^{T_0} \frac{ds}{(1 + X_s)^2}. \quad (4.4)$$

We perform the integration of Y first and apply the drift transform (as in the proof of Lemma 3.1) to deduce from (4.3) that

$$h_1(1 + y, t, \phi) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1+y}} E_y^{BM} \left[e^{\frac{1}{8}\tau^X} p_{\tau^X}^{\text{trs}}(\phi) \mid T_0 = t \right] q_0^{(1)}(y, t), \quad (4.5)$$

where $p_t^{\text{trs}}(\phi)$ denotes the density of the distribution of Y_t . We break the conditional expectation above into two parts according as $T_0 < T_\lambda$ or $T_0 > T_\lambda$, and denote the corresponding ones by $J(T_0 < T_\lambda)$ and $J(T_0 > T_\lambda)$, respectively. Note that $\tau^X < t$ (under $T_0 = t$) so that $p_{\tau^X}^{\text{trs}}(\phi) \leq C p_{\tau^X}^{(1)}(\phi)$ if $\sqrt{t} < \lambda \leq 8$. Then, using Lemma 4.1 (with $b = \lambda$) and Lemma 4.2 (with $\alpha = 1/2$) we observe

$$\begin{aligned} J(T_0 > T_\lambda) &= E_y^{BM} [e^{\frac{1}{8}\tau^X} p_{\tau^X}^{\text{trs}}(\phi) \mid T_0 = t > T_\lambda] \times P_y^{BM} [T_0 > T_\lambda \mid T_0 = t] \\ &\leq C e^{\frac{1}{8}t} E_y^{BM} [(\tau^X)^{-1/2} \mid T_0 = t > T_\lambda] \times P_y^{BM} [T_0 > T_\lambda \mid T_0 = t] \\ &\leq C e^{\lambda^2/8} \frac{(1 + \lambda)}{t^{1/2}} \times \frac{y\lambda^2}{t^2} p_t^{(1)}(\lambda) \times \frac{1}{q_0^{(1)}(y, t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

On the other hand, the trivial domination $P_y^{BM}[T_0 < T_\lambda \mid T_0 = t] \leq 1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} J(T_0 < T_\lambda) &\leq C e^{\lambda^2/8} E_y^{BM} [p_{\tau^X}^{(1)}(\phi) \mid T_0 = t < T_\lambda] \\ &\leq C e^{\lambda^2/8} (1 + \lambda) p_t^{(1)}(\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Here the second inequality is due to the inequality $p_{\tau^X}^{(1)}(\phi) \leq (1 + \lambda)p_t^{(1)}(\phi)$ that is valid if $(1 + \lambda)^{-2}t < \tau^X < t$, hence if $t < T_\lambda$. On recalling $q_0^{(1)}(y, t) = (y/t)p_t^{(1)}(y)$ these together show the estimate of the lemma when $d = 2$.

The higher-dimensional case $d \geq 3$ can be dealt with in the same way in view of what is noted in Remark 4 and the fact that the transition density of a (spherical) Brownian motion on the $(d - 1)$ -dimensional sphere is comparable with that on the flat space if t is small (cf. Section 6.1.2). The details are omitted. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Uniformly for $y > 0$, as $(y^3 + |\phi|^3)/t \rightarrow 0$ and $t \downarrow 0$*

$$\frac{h_a(a + y, t, \phi)}{2\pi} = \frac{a^{2\nu+1}y}{t} p_t^{(1)}(y) p_t^{(d-1)}(a\phi) (1 + o(1)).$$

Proof. This proof is performed by examining the preceding one. We suppose $d = 2$ and $a = 1$. By virtue of the identity (4.5) it suffices to show

$$E_y^{BM} \left[e^{\frac{1}{8}\tau^X} p_{\tau^X}^{\text{trs}}(\phi) \mid T_0 = t \right] = p_t^{(1)}(\phi) (1 + o(1)) \quad (4.8)$$

in the same limit as in the lemma. Given $t > 0$ we put $\lambda = \lambda(t) = t^{1/3}$. With $b = \lambda(t)$ the inequality of Lemma 4.1 holds true, hence also (4.6) and (4.7) do even though $\lambda(t)$ depends on t . From the constraint on ϕ, y and t imposed in the lemma it follows that

$$\frac{y + |\phi| + \sqrt{t}}{\lambda(t)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\phi^2 \lambda(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.9)$$

As before we break the expectation into two parts. The part $J(T_0 > T_\lambda)$ is negligible, for the last member in (4.6) is at most a positive multiple of $t^{-3/2} p_t^{(1)}(\lambda)/p_t^{(1)}(y)$ and the latter is $o(p_t^{(1)}(\phi))$ under (4.9). As for $J(T_0 < T_\lambda)$ the estimate from above is provided by (4.7). For, C in (4.7) that comes in from the bound $p_{\tau^X}^{\text{trs}}(\phi) \leq C p_{\tau^X}^{(1)}(\phi)$ may be taken arbitrarily close to 1 as $\tau^X < t \rightarrow 0$. The estimate from below is obtained by observing that if $T_0 < T_\lambda$ (so that $\tau^X > (1 + \lambda)^2 t$), then

$$\frac{p_{\tau^X}^{(1)}(\phi)}{p_t^{(1)}(\phi)} = \sqrt{\frac{t}{\tau^X}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\phi^2}{2t\tau^X} \int_0^t \frac{2X_s + X_s^2}{(1 + X_s)^2} ds \right\} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} e^{-2\phi^2 \lambda/t} \rightarrow 1.$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

The estimate of Lemma 4.3, which concerns the case when $(z - a)/t$ is bounded above, will be improved in Lemma 4.8 of the next subsection. The next lemma provides a bound of $h_a(z, t, \phi)$ valid for a wide range of the variables z, ϕ and t . To simplify the description of it as well as of its proof we bring in a notation that represents $h_a(z, t, \phi)$ in a different way.

For $\mathbf{z} \notin U(a)$, put

$$h_a^*(\mathbf{z}, t) = \frac{P_{\mathbf{z}}[B(\sigma_a) \in d\xi, \sigma_a \in dt]}{m_a(d\xi)dt} \Big|_{\xi=a\mathbf{e}}, \quad (4.10)$$

which may be also understood to be the density evaluated at $(0, t)$ of the joint law of $(\Theta(\sigma_a), \sigma_a)$ under $P_{\mathbf{z}}$. If $\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{e}/z = \cos \phi \neq -1$, $z = |\mathbf{z}|$, then $h_a(z, t, \phi) = h_a^*(\mathbf{z}, t)$ due to rotational symmetry of Brownian motion. When $d = 2$ these may be given as follows:

$$h_a^*(ze^{i\phi}, t) = h_a(z, t, \phi) = 2\pi \frac{P_{z\mathbf{e}}[\text{Arg } B(\sigma_a) \in d\phi, \sigma_a \in dt]}{d\phi dt}. \quad (4.11)$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $|\mathbf{z}| > a$ ($\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$) and put $r = |\mathbf{z} - a\mathbf{e}|$. Then for some constant κ_d ,*

$$h_a^*(\mathbf{z}, t) \leq \kappa_d q_a^{(d)}(z, t) \quad \text{if } t > a^2 \vee ar; \text{ and}$$

$$h_a^*(\mathbf{z}, t) \leq \kappa_d a^{2\nu} \frac{ar}{t} p_t^{(d)}(r) \quad \text{if } t \leq a^2 \vee ar.$$

Proof. The case $t \geq ar$ is readily disposed of. Indeed the asserted inequality is implied by Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 (in conjunction with Theorem A) if $t \geq a^2 \vee ar$, and by Lemma 4.3 if $ar < t < a^2$ (note that $p_t^{(d)}(r) \asymp p_t^{(d)}(0)$ in the latter case).

In the rest of proof we let $a = 1$ and suppose $t \leq r$, the case which plainly entails $t < 1 \vee r$ and thus concerns the second bound of the lemma. Take positive numbers $\varepsilon < 1$ and R so that $r - \varepsilon > R > \varepsilon$. Then, on considering the ball about $(1 - \varepsilon)\mathbf{e}$ of radius ε ,

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^*(\mathbf{z}, t) &\leq \varepsilon^{-2\nu-1} h_\varepsilon^*(\mathbf{z} - (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbf{e}, t) \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{h_\varepsilon^*(\xi, t-s)}{\varepsilon^{2\nu+1}} \int_{\partial U(R)} P_{\mathbf{z} - (1-\varepsilon)\mathbf{e}}[\sigma_{U(R)} \in ds, B_s \in d\xi]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Here, in the middle member we have the factor $\varepsilon^{-2\nu-1}$ in front of h_ε^* since the uniform probability measure of the surface element $d\xi$ at $\mathbf{e}$ of the sphere $\partial U(\varepsilon) + (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbf{e}$ equals $\varepsilon^{-2\nu-1} m_1(d\xi')$ with $d\xi' \subset \partial U(1)$ designating the projection of $d\xi$ on $\partial U(1)$ (see Remark 5 following this proof for the inequality). Write

$$r_* = r_*(\varepsilon) = |\mathbf{z} - (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbf{e}|, \quad \tilde{r} = r_* - R \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{R} = R - \varepsilon$$

and suppose that $R < 4\varepsilon < r/2$ so that

$$|r - r_*| < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{8}r, \quad |r - \tilde{r}| < \frac{1}{4}r, \quad \tilde{R} < 3\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{r} > \frac{1}{4}t.$$

We apply, for $s > \varepsilon(R + \varepsilon)$, the first inequality of the lemma that we have already proved at the beginning of this proof and, for $s \leq \varepsilon(R + \varepsilon)$, Lemma 4.3 with $\lambda = 3$ to infer that

$$\sup_{\xi \in \partial U(R)} \frac{h_\varepsilon^*(\xi, s)}{\varepsilon^{2\nu+1}} \leq \kappa_d \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \vee \frac{\tilde{R}}{s} \right) p_s^{(d)}(\tilde{R}).$$

Thus the repeated integral in (4.12) is dominated by a constant multiple of

$$I := \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \vee \frac{\tilde{R}}{s} \right) p_s^{(d)}(\tilde{R}) q_R(r_*, t-s) ds.$$

Write $I_{[a,b]}$ for the integral above restricted on the interval $[a, b]$. Applying Theorem B we see

$$I_{[0, t/2]} \leq \kappa'_d \int_0^{t/2} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \vee \frac{\tilde{R}}{s} \right) p_s^{(d)}(\tilde{R}) \frac{\tilde{r}}{t-s} p_{t-s}^{(1)}(\tilde{r}) \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{(d-1)/2} ds \left[1 + O\left(\frac{t}{Rr} \right) \right].$$

On using the inequality $1/(t-s) \geq 1/t + s/t^2$, the right-hand side is bounded above by

$$\frac{\kappa_d'' \tilde{r}}{t^{3/2}} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{(d-1)/2} e^{-\tilde{r}^2/2t} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \vee \frac{\tilde{R}}{s} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{\tilde{r}^2 s}{2t^2} - \frac{\tilde{R}^2}{2s} \right\} \frac{ds}{s^{d/2}} \left[1 \vee \frac{t}{\varepsilon r} \right].$$

Supposing

$$\tilde{R}\tilde{r}/t > 1/2, \quad (4.13)$$

we compute the last integral (use if necessary (5.16) of Section 5.2) to conclude

$$I_{[0,t/2]} \leq \kappa_d''' \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \vee \frac{\tilde{r}}{t} \right) \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} \left(\frac{R}{\tilde{R}} \right)^{(d-1)/2} e^{-(\tilde{r}+\tilde{R})^2/2t} e^{\tilde{R}^2/2t} \left[1 \vee \frac{t}{\varepsilon r} \right].$$

For the other interval $[t/2, t]$ we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \vee \frac{\tilde{R}}{t} \right)^{-1} I_{[t/2, t]} \leq \frac{\kappa_d}{t^{d/2}} \int_0^{t/2} q_R(r_*, s) ds \leq \frac{\kappa_d}{t^{d/2}} P_0^{BM} \left[\max_{s \leq t/2} X_s > r_* - R \right],$$

and, since the last probability is at most $2e^{-2(r_*-R)^2/t}$, taking $R = 2\varepsilon$ (so that $\tilde{R} = \varepsilon$ and $\tilde{r} + \tilde{R} = r_* - \varepsilon$) yields

$$I_{[t/2, t]} \leq \kappa_d' \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \vee \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \right) p_{t/2}^{(d)}(r_* - 2\varepsilon),$$

which combined with the bound of $I_{[0, t/2]}$ obtained above shows

$$I \leq \kappa_d''' \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \vee \frac{r}{t} \right) p_t^{(d)}(r_* - \varepsilon) e^{\varepsilon^2/2t} \left[1 \vee \frac{t}{\varepsilon r} \right].$$

provided $r/t > 1$ and (4.13) is true. We may suppose $r^2 > 8t$. For if $r^2 \leq 8t$, entailing $r < 8$ and $p_t^{(d)}(r) \asymp p_t^{(d)}(0)$, the formula to be shown follows from Lemma 4.3 with $\lambda = 8$. Now take $\varepsilon = t/r$, which conforms to the requirement (4.13) as well as the condition $\varepsilon < r/8$ imposed at the beginning of the proof. Then, $p_t^{(d)}(r_* - \varepsilon) e^{\varepsilon^2/2t} \leq p_t^{(d)}(r - 2\varepsilon) e^{\varepsilon^2/2t} \leq p_t^{(d)}(r) e^{2\varepsilon r/t} = p_t^{(d)}(r) e^2$, and we find that $h_1^*(\mathbf{z}, t) \leq \kappa_d(r/t) p_t^{(d)}(r)$ as asserted in the lemma. $\square$

REMARK 5. The inequality in (4.12) though appearing intuitively obvious may require verification. We suppose $d = 2$ for simplicity and use the notation $h_a^*(\mathbf{z}, t, \theta)$, $-\pi < \theta < \pi$, given in (5.3) of the next section (it designates the density of $(\sigma_a, \text{Arg } B(\sigma_a))$ at (t, θ)). Write $0'$ for $(1 - \varepsilon)\mathbf{e}$. For any $1 < b < z$, the Brownian motion starting at $\mathbf{z}$ hits $\partial U(b)$ before $U(\varepsilon) + 0'$ (the shift of $U(\varepsilon)$ by $0'$), hence

$$h_\varepsilon^*(\mathbf{z} - (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbf{e}, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi \int_0^t h_b^*(\mathbf{z}, t - s, \phi) h_\varepsilon^*(be^{i\phi} - 0', s) ds. \quad (4.14)$$

By using an explicit form of the Poisson kernel of the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus U(\varepsilon)$ we deduce that for each $\delta > 0$ (chosen small), as $y := b - 1 \downarrow 0$ and $\phi \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon} \int_0^\delta h_\varepsilon^*(be^{i\phi} - 0', s) ds = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{y}{y^2 + (b\phi)^2} (1 + o(1)) \quad (4.15)$$

(cf. Appendix (C)). Restricting the range of the outer integral to $|\phi| < \sqrt{y}$ in (4.14) and passing to the limit we obtain the required upper bound of $h_1^*(\mathbf{z}, t, 0) = h_1^*(\mathbf{z}, t)$.

4.2. REFINEMENT IN CASE $t < 1$. In the next section we shall apply Lemma 4.3 with $\mathbf{z}$ on the plane that is tangent to $U(a)$ at a point of the surface $\partial U(a)$. By the underlying

rotational invariance we may suppose that the plane is tangent at $a\mathbf{e}$ so that $\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{e} = a$. Let ϕ be the colatitude of $\mathbf{z}$ so that

$$\eta := |\mathbf{z} - a\mathbf{e}| = a \tan \phi \quad \text{and} \quad y := |\mathbf{z}| - a = a \sec \phi - a. \quad (4.16)$$

Then $y/a \sim \frac{1}{2}\phi^2$ and an elementary computation yields

$$\phi^2 + \frac{y^2}{a^2} = \phi^2 + (\sec \phi - 1)^2 = \frac{\eta^2}{a^2} - \frac{5}{12}\phi^4 - O(\phi^6), \quad (4.17)$$

from which one may infer in one way or another that in the case when $y/\sqrt{t}$ is large the upper estimate of Lemma 4.3 is not fine enough: in fact the term $-\frac{5}{12}a^2\phi^4/2t$ can be removed from the exponent of the exponential factor involved in $p_t^{(1)}(y)p_t^{(d-1)}(a\phi)$ as asserted in the next proposition (cf. its Corollary). (However, the bound of Lemma 4.3 is of correct order if $\sqrt{t} > y$.) This seemingly minor flaw becomes serious in the proof of Theorem 2.4 (when θ is close to $\frac{1}{2}\pi$).

The next proposition partially improves both Lemma 4.3 and the second inequality of Lemma 4.5 (in the case $t < 1$). Remember the definition of $h_a^*(\mathbf{z}, t)$ given right after (4.10).

Proposition 4.1. *Let $z = |\mathbf{z}| > a$, $\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{e}/z = \cos \phi$ ($|\phi| < \pi$), $y = z - a$, and $r = |\mathbf{z} - a\mathbf{e}|$ as in Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5. There exist positive constants C_1 , C_2 and C depending only on d such that whenever $t < a^2$, $y < a$ and $|\phi| < 1$,*

$$\frac{C_1 a^{2\nu+1} y}{t} p_t^{(d)}(r) e^{-C[a\phi]^2(\phi^2 + a^{-1}\sqrt{t})/t} \leq h_a^*(\mathbf{z}, t) \leq \frac{C_2 a^{2\nu+1} y}{t} p_t^{(d)}(r) e^{C\phi^4[ay + (a\phi)^2]/t}.$$

Corollary 4.1. *There exists positive constants κ_d and M , depending only on d such that if $t < a^2$ and η , ϕ and y are given as in (4.16) with $|\phi| < 1$, then*

$$h_a(a + y, t, \phi) \leq \frac{\kappa_d a^{2\nu+1} y}{t} p_t^{(d)}(\eta) e^{M\eta^6/a^4 t}.$$

Our proof of Proposition 4.1 rests on the skew product formula (4.3) and requires some elaborate estimate of the distribution of the random time τ^X given in (4.4), namely

$$\tau^X = \int_0^{T_0} \frac{ds}{(1 + X_s)^2}.$$

Here X denotes a standard linear Brownian motion; its law conditioned on $X_0 = r$ is denoted by P_r^X as mentioned in the beginning of this section. In the situation we are interested in, the starting point of B_t is close to the sphere $\partial U(1)$, so that the Bessel process $|B_t|$ may be replaced by linear Brownian motion.

Lemma 4.6. *For $b > 0$ and $r > 0$,*

$$P_r^{BM}[X_{1-s} \geq br + rs \text{ for some } s \in [0, 1] \mid T_0 = 1] \leq 6e^{-\frac{2}{3}b^2 r^2}. \quad (4.18)$$

Proof. Let $R_t, t \geq 0$ be a three-dimensional Bessel process and L_r its last passage time of r . Then we have the following sequence of identities of conditional laws:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (X_{1-s})_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \text{ conditioned on } X_0 = r, T_0 = 1 \\
& \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (R_s)_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \text{ conditioned on } R_0 = 0, L_r = 1 \\
& \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (R_s)_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \text{ conditioned on } R_0 = 0, R_1 = r \\
& \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (R_{1-s})_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \text{ conditioned on } R_0 = r, R_1 = 0 \\
& \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (sR_{s-1-1})_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \text{ conditioned on } R_0 = r
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

(see §1.6 and §8.1 of [14] and (3.7) and (3.6) in §XI.3 of [8]). On using the last expression a simple manipulation shows that the conditional probability in (4.18) equals

$$P^R[R_u > (b+1)r + bru \text{ for some } u \geq 0 \mid R_0 = r], \tag{4.20}$$

where P^R denotes the law of (R_t) . Since R_t has the same law as the distance from the origin of a three-dimensional Brownian motion starting at $(r/\sqrt{3}, r/\sqrt{3}, r/\sqrt{3})$, the probability in (4.20) is dominated by

$$3P_{r/\sqrt{3}}^{BM} \left[|X_s| > (b+1+bs)r/\sqrt{3} \text{ for some } s \geq 0 \right],$$

which is at most $6e^{-\frac{2}{3}b^2r^2}$ according to a well known bound of escape probability of a linear Brownian motion with drift. The bound (4.18) has been verified. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *There exists a constant $C > 1$ such that for $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $0 < t < 1$ and $y > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i)} \quad & P_y^{BM} \left[\tau^X \geq \frac{t}{1+(1-\delta)y} \mid T_0 = t \right] \leq C \left(1 \wedge \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\delta y} \right) e^{-3[\delta(1-2y)]^2 y^2 / 2t} \quad \text{if } y < \frac{1}{4}. \\
\text{(ii)} \quad & P_y^{BM} \left[\tau^X \geq \frac{t}{1+(1+\delta)y + \delta y^2} \mid T_0 = t \right] \geq 1 - C^{-1} e^{-\delta^2 y^2 / 6t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the scaling property of X the conditional probabilities to be estimated may be written as

$$I_- := P_r^{BM}[\tilde{\tau}^X \geq \frac{1}{1+(1-\delta)y} \mid T_0 = 1] \quad \text{and} \quad I_+ := P_r^{BM}[\tilde{\tau}^X \geq \frac{1}{1+(1+\delta)y + \delta y^2} \mid T_0 = 1],$$

where

$$r = \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad \tilde{\tau}^X = \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{(1 + \sqrt{t}X_s)^2}.$$

According to Lemma 4.6 the lower bound (ii) readily follows from this expression. Indeed, if $\sqrt{t}X_{1-s} < ys + \frac{1}{2}\delta y$ for $0 < s < 1$, then

$$\tilde{\tau}^X \geq \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{(1 + ys + \frac{1}{2}\delta y)^2} = \frac{1}{1 + (1+\delta)y + (1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta)\frac{1}{2}\delta y^2},$$

implying the occurrence of the event of the conditional probability giving I_+ , hence the required lower bound.

The upper bound (i) requires a delicate estimation. We write the event under the conditional probability for I_- in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\tau}^X - \frac{1}{1+y} &= \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{(1+\sqrt{t}X_s)^2} - \frac{1}{(1+ys)^2} \right] ds \\ &\geq \frac{\delta y}{(1+(1-\delta)y)(1+y)}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

Observe that the integral above is less than $2 \int_0^1 (ys - \sqrt{t}X_s) ds$ a.s. and the last member is larger than $\delta y(1-2y)$ (for $y > 0$), so that the inequality (4.21) implies

$$\int_0^1 (ys - \sqrt{t}X_s) ds \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta y(1-2y) \quad \text{if} \quad \sup_{0 < s < 1} |X_s - rs| < 2r.\tag{4.22}$$

Owing to Lemma 4.6 we have $P_0^{BM}[\sup_{0 < s < 1} |X_s - rs| > 2r \mid T_r = 1] \leq 12e^{-2y^2/t}$, which along with (4.22) shows

$$I_- \leq P_0^{BM} \left[\int_0^1 (ys - \sqrt{t}X_s) ds \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta y(1-2y) \mid T_r = 1 \right] + 12e^{-2y^2/t}.$$

Using (4.19) again we rewrite the probability on the right in terms of the three dimensional Bessel process R_t , which results in

$$P^R \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{r - R_s}{(1+s)^3} ds > \frac{1}{2}\delta r(1-2y) \mid R_0 = r \right].$$

For our present objective of obtaining an upper bound we may replace R_s by X_s . Since the random variable $\int_0^\infty \frac{r-X_s}{(1+s)^3} ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (1+s)^{-2} dX_s$ is Gaussian of mean zero under P_r^{BM} and its variance equals

$$E_0^{BM} \left[\left(\int_0^\infty \frac{X_s ds}{(1+s)^3} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty (1+s)^{-4} s ds = \frac{1}{12},$$

it follows that if $y < 1/4$,

$$I_- \leq C \left(1 \wedge \frac{1}{\delta r} \right) e^{-3r^2(\delta-2\delta y)^2/2} + 12e^{-2y^2/t}.$$

On the right-hand side the second term may be absorbed into the first, resulting in the required bound. $\square$

The next lemma, valid for all $d \geq 2$, improves the bound of Lemma 4.3 when $r/t > 1$.

Lemma 4.8. *There exists a positive constant C depending only on d such that*

$$h_a(a+y, t, \phi) \leq \frac{Ca^{2\nu+1}y}{t^{1+d/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2t} \left((a^2 + ay)\phi^2 + y^2 - \frac{a^2}{12}\phi^4 - 12ay\phi^4 \right) \right\}.\tag{4.23}$$

whenever $0 < y < a$, $t < a^2$ and $|\phi| < 1$.

Proof. Suppose $d = 2$ and $a = 1$, the case $d \geq 3$ being briefly discussed at the end of this proof. Let τ be as in the preceding lemma. From (4.5) it plainly follows that

$$h_1(1+y, t, \phi) \leq 2\pi E_y^{BM}[e^{\tau^X/8} p_{\tau^X}^{(1)}(\phi) | T_0 = t] q_1^{(1)}(1+y, t). \quad (4.24)$$

Noting $\tau^X < t$, we compute $E_y^{BM}[e^{-\phi^2/2\tau^X} | T_0 = t]$. Define the random variable Δ via

$$\frac{1}{\tau^X} = \frac{1+y-y\Delta}{t},$$

so that

$$E_y^{BM}[e^{-\phi^2/2\tau^X} | T_0 = t] = e^{-(1+y)\phi^2/2t} E_y^{BM}[e^{(\phi^2/2t)y\Delta} | T_0 = t]. \quad (4.25)$$

Put $F(\delta) = E_y^{BM}[\Delta \geq \delta | T_0 = t]$ for $-\infty < \delta \leq 1$. Then by Lemma 4.7 (i)

$$F(\delta) = P_y^{BM}\left[\tau^X \geq \frac{t}{1+(1-\delta)y} \mid T_0 = t\right] \leq \frac{C}{1+\delta y t^{-1/2}} e^{-3[\delta(1-2y)]^2 y^2/2t}$$

(for $y < 1/4, 0 < \delta \leq 1$). Put

$$A = \frac{\phi^2}{2t} y \quad \text{and} \quad B = A \frac{\phi^2}{y} = \frac{\phi^4}{2t}.$$

Then, noting $F(1-0) = 0$, we perform integration by parts to see that

$$\begin{aligned} E_y^{BM}[e^{(\phi^2/2t)y\Delta} | T_0 = t] &= - \int_{-\infty}^1 e^{A\delta} dF(\delta) = \int_{-\infty}^1 A e^{A\delta} F(\delta) d\delta \\ &\leq 1 + C \int_0^1 \frac{A}{1+\delta y t^{-1/2}} \exp\left\{A\delta - 3\frac{\delta^2(1-2y)^2 y^2}{2t}\right\} d\delta. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral restricted to the interval $(\phi^2/y) \wedge 1 \leq \delta \leq 1$ is dominated by 4, provided $y < 1/8$, for in this interval we have $\delta y \geq \phi^2$ so that the exponent involved in the integrand is bounded from above by

$$A\delta - 3\frac{\delta^2 y^2}{2t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \leq -\frac{1}{4} A\delta$$

($y \leq 1/8$), and thus the integral by $\int_0^\infty A e^{-A\delta/4} d\delta = 4$. On the other hand, write the exponent as

$$A\delta - 3(1-2y)^2 \frac{\delta^2 y^2}{2t} = \frac{B}{12} - 3\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{2t}}\delta - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{B}\right)^2 + 3\frac{4\delta^2 y^3(1-y)}{2t}$$

and observe that the last term is less than $6\phi^4 y/t$ if $\delta \leq \phi^2/y$. Then, we transform the integral over $[0, \phi^2/y)$ by changing the variable of integration according to $u = \frac{y}{\sqrt{2t}}\delta - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{B}$ and, noting $A\sqrt{2t}/y = \sqrt{B}$, we deduce that it is at most

$$\sqrt{B} \int_{-\sqrt{B}/6}^{5\sqrt{B}/6} \frac{e^{-3u^2} du}{1 + \sqrt{2}(u + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{B})} \exp\left\{\frac{B}{12} + \frac{6y\phi^4}{t}\right\} \leq \frac{C\sqrt{B}}{1 + \sqrt{B}} \exp\left\{\frac{B}{12} + \frac{6y\phi^4}{t}\right\},$$

hence by virtue of (4.25)

$$\begin{aligned} E_y^{BM}[e^{-\phi^2/2\tau^X} | T_0 = t] &\leq C e^{-(1+y)\phi^2/2t} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{B}}{1 + \sqrt{B}} \exp\left\{\frac{\frac{1}{12}\phi^4 + 12y\phi^4}{2t}\right\}\right) \\ &\leq C' \exp\left\{\frac{-(1+y)\phi^2 + \frac{1}{12}\phi^4 + 12y\phi^4}{2t}\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

On recalling (4.24) (and (3.2) as to $q_1^{(1)}$) this concludes the assertion of the lemma, for if $\phi^2 > t$, then $p_{\tau^X}^{(1)}(\phi) \leq p_{t/2}^{(1)}(\phi)$ on the event $\tau^X \leq t$ and $p_{\tau^X}^{(1)}(\phi) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\phi^2/2\tau^X}$ otherwise, while if $\phi^2 \leq t/2$, the lemma is obvious (see e.g. Lemma 4.3).

The case $d \geq 3$ is dealt with in the same way as above for the same reason mentioned at the end of the proof of Lemma 4.3. We employ the skew product representation of d -dimensional Brownian motion. For the radial component the same remark as given in Remark 4 is applied to the bounds obtained in Lemma 4.7. The spherical component behaves as the Brownian motion on the flat space for small t . It follows that in place of (4.5) we have

$$h_1(1+y, t, \phi) \leq C_d E_y^{BM}[p_{\tau^X}^{(d-1)}(\phi) | T_0 = t] q_1^{(1)}(1+y, t).$$

Thus the desired bound (4.23) follows from (4.26). $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.1. For $|\phi| < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{z} - a\mathbf{e}|^2 &= (y+a)^2 - 2(ay+a^2)\cos\phi + a^2 \\ &= y^2 + (a+y)\phi^2 - \frac{a^2}{12}\phi^4 + O(\phi^4 ay + a^2\phi^6), \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

and the upper bound in Proposition 4.1 follows from Lemma 4.8.

For the lower bound we suppose $a = 1$ for simplicity and apply the skew product expression (4.3). Suppose $d = 2$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.3 (see (4.5)) we have

$$h_1^*(\mathbf{z}, t) = h_1(1+y, t, \phi) \geq E_y^{BM}[p_{\tau^X}^{(1)}(\phi) | T_0 = t] q_0^{(1)}(y, t).$$

Plainly $\tau^X < t$ from the definition, while by (ii) of Lemma 4.7 with $\delta = \sqrt{t}/y$ we see that $P_y^{BM}[\tau^X > t(1+y+\sqrt{t}(1+y))^{-1} | T_0 = t] \geq 1 - e^{-1/6}$. If $t < \phi^2$ (so that $p_\tau(\phi)$ is increasing in $\tau \in (0, t]$), it therefore follows that

$$h_1^*(\mathbf{z}, t) \geq \kappa_d \frac{y}{t^2} \exp \left\{ - \frac{y^2 + (1+y)\phi^2 + 2\phi^2\sqrt{t}}{2t} \right\},$$

entailing the required lower bound in view of (4.27). If $t \geq \phi^2$, then the conditional expectation above is bounded below by $\kappa_d/\sqrt{t}$ and observing $(r^2 - y^2)/t \leq (1+y)$ we obtain $h_1^*(\mathbf{z}, t) \geq \kappa'_d y t^{-1} p_t^{(2)}(r)$, a better lower bound. $\square$

5 Proof of Theorem 2.4 (Case $d = 2$)

Throughout this section we let $d = 2$; also let $\mathbf{x} = x\mathbf{e}$ and write v for x/t . The definition of h_a given at the beginning of Section 4 may read

$$h_a(x, t, |\theta|) = 2\pi P_{\mathbf{x}}[\text{Arg } B(\sigma_a) \in d\theta, \sigma_a \in dt]/d\theta dt \quad (x > a, -\pi < \theta < \pi).$$

In this section we prove

Theorem 5.1. *Let $v = x/t$. Then,*

(i) *uniformly for $0 \leq \theta < \frac{1}{2}\pi - v^{-1/3}$ and for $t > 1$, as $v \rightarrow \infty$*

$$h_a(x, t, \theta) = 2\pi av p_t^{(2)}(|\mathbf{x} - ae^{i\theta}|) \cos \theta \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta)^3 v}\right) \right]; \text{ and} \quad (5.1)$$

(ii) *there exists a universal constant C such that for $|\frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta| < (av)^{-1/3}$, $t > a^2$ and $v > 2/a$,*

$$C^{-1} \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}} \leq \frac{h_a(x, t, \theta)}{ave^{-av(1-\cos \theta)} p_t^{(2)}(x-a)} \leq C \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}}.$$

Note that $\cos \theta \sim \frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta$ as $\theta \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\pi$ and

$$p_t^{(2)}(|\mathbf{x} - ae^{i\theta}|) = e^{-av(1-\cos \theta)} p_t^{(2)}(x-a). \quad (5.2)$$

The following corollary of Theorem 5.1 is a restatement of Theorem 2.4 for the case $d = 2$.

Corollary 5.1. *For $t > a^2$ and $v = x/t > 2/a$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[\text{Arg } B(\sigma_a) \in d\theta \mid \sigma_a = t]}{d\theta} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{av}{2\pi}} e^{-av(1-\cos \theta)} \cos \theta \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{av \cos^3 \theta}\right) \right] \quad \text{if } \cos \theta \geq \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}}; \text{ and} \\ &\asymp \sqrt{av} e^{-av(1-\cos \theta)} (av)^{-1/3} \quad \text{if } |\cos \theta| \leq \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $|\theta| > \frac{1}{2}\pi + (av)^{1/3}$ we shall obtain an upper bound (Lemma 5.6) which together with Corollary 5.1 verifies the next corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *As $v := x/t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2av}} e^{av(1-\cos \theta)} P_{\mathbf{x}}[\text{Arg } B(\sigma_a) \in d\theta \mid \sigma_a = t] \implies \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}\left(|\theta| < \frac{1}{2}\pi\right) \cos \theta d\theta.$$

For the proof it will become convenient to bring in the notation

$$h_a^*(\mathbf{z}, t, \theta) = 2\pi \frac{P_{\mathbf{z}}[\text{Arg } B(\sigma_a) \in d\theta, \sigma_a \in dt]}{d\theta dt} \quad (|\mathbf{z}| > a, 0 \leq |\theta| < \pi). \quad (5.3)$$

which is a natural extension of h_a^* introduced in Section 4.1: $h_a^*(\mathbf{z}, t) = h_a^*(\mathbf{z}, t, 0)$; also, $h_a(z, t, |\theta|) = h_a^*(z\mathbf{e}, t, \theta)$.

5.1. LOWER BOUND I.

The following lemma, though easy to obtain, gives a correct asymptotic form of h_a if $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ is away from $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ and provides a guideline for later arguments. Combined with Theorem A it also entails Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathbf{x} = x\mathbf{e}$ and $v = x/t$ and put

$$\Psi_a(x, t, \theta) = \frac{2\pi ax}{t} e^{-\frac{ax}{t}(1-\cos \theta)} p_t^{(2)}(x-a) \left(\cos \theta - \frac{a}{x} \right).$$

Lemma 5.1. For all $x > a, t > a^2$ and $\theta \in (-\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$,

$$\frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[\arg B(\sigma_a) \in d\theta, \sigma_a \in dt]}{d\theta dt} \geq \Psi_a(x, t, \theta); \quad (5.4)$$

in particular $h_a(x, t, \theta) \geq \Psi_a(x, t, \theta)$.

Proof. We represent points on the plane by complex numbers. Let $0 \leq \theta < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ and denote by $L(\theta)$ the straight line tangent to the circle $\partial U(a)$ at $ae^{i\theta}$. Let $\sigma_{L(\theta)}$ be the first time B_t hits $L(\theta)$ and consider the coordinate system (u, l) where the u -axis is the line through $\mathbf{x}$ perpendicular to $L(\theta)$ and the l -axis is $L(\theta)$ so that the l -coordinate of the tangential point $ae^{i\theta}$ equals $x \sin \theta$ (see Figure 1). Put

$$\psi_a(l, t) = \frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[B(\sigma_{L(\theta)}) \in dl, \sigma_{L(\theta)} \in dt]}{dl dt} \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$U = \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x \sin \theta\}} \psi_a(l, t-s) h_a^*(\xi_a^*(l), s, \theta) dl, \quad (5.6)$$

where h_a^* is defined by (5.3) and $\xi_a^*(l)$ denotes the point of the plane which lies on $L(\theta)$ and whose l -coordinate equals l (so that $\xi_a^*(x \sin \theta) = ae^{i\theta}$). Then

$$h_a(x, t, \theta) = h_a^*(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) = 2\pi a \psi_a(x \sin \theta, t) + U. \quad (5.7)$$

Here the factor a of the first term on the right-hand side of (5.7) comes out from the relation $dl = ad\theta$ valid at $ae^{i\theta}$; for the present proof we need only the lower bound (with U being discarded) that is verified by the same argument as in Remark 5; the equality however is used later, whose verification we give after this proof. We claim

$$\psi_a(x \sin \theta, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi a} \Psi_a(x, t, \theta). \quad (5.8)$$

Since the u -coordinate of $\mathbf{x}$ equals $x \cos \theta - a$ we have in turn

$$\psi_a(l, t) = \frac{x \cos \theta - a}{t} p_t^{(1)}(x \cos \theta - a) p_t^{(1)}(l)$$




Figure 1

and

$$\psi_a(x \sin \theta, t) = \frac{x \cos \theta - a}{2\pi t^2} e^{-|xe^{i\theta} - a|^2/2t}. \quad (5.9)$$

Hence, noting (5.2), we readily identify the right-hand side of (5.9) with that of (5.8).

Finally one may realize that (5.7) shows $a\psi_a(x \sin \theta, t)$ to be a lower bound for the density of the distribution of $(\arg B(\sigma_a), \sigma_a)$ (rather than $(\text{Arg } B(\sigma_a), \sigma_a)$). $\square$

Proof of (5.7). We are to take the limit as $b \downarrow a$ in the expression

$$h_a(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) = \int_0^t ds \int_{l \in \mathbb{R}} \psi_b(l, t-s) h_a^*(\xi_b^*(l), s, \theta) dl \quad (a < b < x). \quad (5.10)$$

Here the coordinate l and $\xi_b^*(l)$ are analogously defined with the tangential line to $\partial U(b)$ at $be^{i\theta}$. Put $y = b - a$ and split the inner integral in (5.10) at $l = x \sin \theta \pm \sqrt{y}$.

First consider

$$m_{\text{in}}(b) := \int_0^t ds \int_{|l - x \sin \theta| < \sqrt{y}} \psi_b(l, t-s) h_a^*(\xi_b^*(l), s, \theta) dl.$$

As in Remark 5 we apply the explicit form of the Poisson kernel of $\mathbb{C} \setminus U(a)$ to see that for each $\delta > 0$, uniformly for $l : |l - x \sin \theta| < \sqrt{y}$, as $y \downarrow 0$

$$(2\pi a)^{-1} \int_0^\delta h_a^*(\xi_b^*(l), s, \theta) ds = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{y}{y^2 + (l - x \sin \theta)^2} (1 + o(1)),$$

which yields $\lim_{b \downarrow a} m_{\text{in}}(b) = 2\pi a \psi_a(x \sin \theta, t)$ in view of continuity of $\psi_b(l, t-s)$.

As for the contribution of the range $\{l : |l - x \sin \theta| \geq \sqrt{y}\}$, denoted by $m_{\text{out}}(b)$, we substitute in the integral representing it the expression

$$h_a^*(\xi_b^*(l), s, \theta) = \int_0^s ds' f_{b-a}(l - l', s - s') \int_{l' \in \mathbb{R}} h_a^*(\xi_a^*(l'), s', \theta) dl' ds',$$

where $f_y(l - l', s - s') = y(s - s')^{-1} p_{s-s'}^{(1)}(y) p_{s-s'}^{(1)}(l - l')$, representing the space-time hitting density of the line $L(\theta)$ for the Brownian motion B_t conditioned on $B_s = \xi_b^*(l)$, and perform the integration w.r.t. $ds dl$ first to see that $m_{\text{out}}(b)$ converges to U . $\square$

5.2. UPPER BOUND I.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $v = x/t > 1$ and $t > 1$. For some universal constant $C > 0$,*

$$h_a(x, t, \theta) \leq \Psi_a(x, t, \theta) \left[1 + \frac{C}{(\frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta)^3 av} \right] \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}}.$$

For the proof of Proposition 5.1 we compute U given in (5.6): it suffices to show the upper bound

$$U \leq \frac{C \Psi_a(x, t, \theta)}{(\frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta)^3 av} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}}. \quad (5.11)$$

Let ψ_a be the density of the hitting distribution in space-time of $L(\theta)$ defined by (5.5). Bringing in the new variable $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$l = x \sin \theta - \eta$$

we write

$$\psi_a(l, t) = \frac{x \cos \theta - a}{t} p_t^{(1)}(x \cos \theta - a) p_t^{(1)}(x \sin \theta - \eta). \quad (5.12)$$

We break the repeated integral defining U into two parts by splitting the time interval $[0, t]$ at $s = a/v$ (namely $s/a^2 = 1/av$, conforming to the scaling relation) and denote the corresponding integrals by

$$U_{[0, a/v]} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{[a/v, t]},$$

respectively. The rest of the proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1. The essential task for the proof is performed in the estimation of $U_{[0, 1/v]}$, which is involved in Lemmas 5.2 through 5.5.

Recall

$$U_{[0, a/v]} = \int_0^{a/v} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_a(l, t-s) h_a^*(\xi_a^*(l), s, \theta) dl,$$

and write

$$J_E = \frac{1}{a} \int_E e^{v\eta \sin \theta} d\eta \int_0^{a/v} \exp \left\{ -\frac{v^2}{2} s \right\} h_a^*(\xi_a^*(l), s, \theta) ds \quad (E \subset [0, \infty)).$$

With an obvious reason of comparison we may restrict our consideration to the half line $l < x \sin \theta$, i.e. to $\eta > 0$.

Lemma 5.2. $U_{[0, a/v]} \leq C \Psi_a(x, t, \theta) J_{[0, \infty)}.$

Proof. We see from (5.12)

$$\psi_a(l, t-s) = \frac{x \cos \theta - a}{t-s} e^{-ax(1-\cos \theta)/(t-s)} p_{t-s}^{(2)}(x-a) \exp \left\{ \frac{2x\eta \sin \theta - \eta^2}{2(t-s)} \right\}.$$

On using $\frac{1}{t-s} = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{s}{t(t-s)}$ an elementary computation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-ax(1-\cos \theta)/(t-s)} p_{t-s}^{(2)}(x-a) &= (1-s/t)^{-1} p_t^{(2)}(x-a) e^{-av(1-\cos \theta)} e^{-v^2 s/2} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ \frac{-v^2 s^2 + 2avs \cos \theta - a^2 s t^{-1}}{2(t-s)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

and substitution in the preceding formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_a(l, t-s) &= \left(\frac{t}{t-s} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi a} \Psi_a(x, t, \theta) e^{v\eta \sin \theta} e^{-v^2 s/2} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ \frac{-(v^2 s^2 + \eta^2 - 2vs\eta \sin \theta) + 2avs \cos \theta - a^2 s t^{-1}}{2(t-s)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

With the help of the inequality $v^2 s^2 + \eta^2 - 2vs\eta \sin \theta > 0$ this leads to

$$\psi_a(l, t-s) \leq \left(\frac{t}{t-s} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi a} \Psi_a(x, t, \theta) e^{v|\eta| \sin \theta} \exp \left\{ -\left(\frac{v^2}{2} - \frac{av \cos \theta}{t-s} \right) s \right\} \quad (5.14)$$

valid for all $0 < s < t, |\eta| < \infty$. Now, in (5.14) we get a constant to dominate both the heading factor and the term $(av \cos \theta)s/(t-s)$ in the exponent for $s < a/v$ to see the inequality of the lemma. $\square$

Step 2. In this step we prove three lemmas that together verify

$$U_{[0,a/v]} \leq C\Psi_a(x, t, \theta) \frac{1}{av \cos^3 \theta} \quad \text{if} \quad \cos \theta > \frac{1}{(av)^3}. \quad (5.15)$$

Let ϕ denote the angle between the rays $ra^{i\theta}, r \geq 0$ and $r\xi_a^*(x \sin \theta - \eta), r \geq 0$ so that

$$\eta = a \tan \phi \quad \text{and} \quad y = a \sec \phi - a$$

and $h_a^*(\xi_a^*(l), s, \theta) = h_a(a + y, s, \phi)$. Applying Lemma 4.3 with $\lambda = \pi$, we infer that for $0 \leq b < b' \leq 1$,

$$J_{[b,b']} \leq \frac{2\kappa_d}{a} \int_b^{b'} e^{v\eta \sin \theta} d\eta \int_0^{a/v} \frac{ay}{s} p_s^{(1)}(y) p_s^{(1)}(a\phi) e^{-v^2 s/2} ds.$$

Now and later we use the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \exp \left\{ -\frac{\eta^2}{2s} - \frac{v^2 s}{2} \right\} \frac{ds}{s^{p+1}} &= 2 \left(\frac{v}{\eta} \right)^p K_p(v\eta) \\ &\sim \begin{cases} 2^p \Gamma(p) \eta^{-2p} & (v\eta \downarrow 0, p > 0) \\ \left(\frac{v}{\eta} \right)^p \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} e^{-v\eta}}{\sqrt{v\eta}} & (v\eta \rightarrow \infty, p \geq 0) \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

valid for all $\eta > 0$ and $v > 0$ ([3], p146). Noting that since $y \sim \frac{1}{2}a\phi^2 \sim \frac{1}{2a}\eta^2$,

$$\frac{ay}{s} p_s^{(1)}(y) p_s^{(1)}(a\phi) \leq \frac{\eta^2}{s^2} e^{-(y^2 + \phi^2)/2s} \quad (\eta < 1), \quad (5.17)$$

we apply the equality in (5.16) with $\sqrt{y^2 + \phi^2}$ in place of η to deduce

$$J_{[b,b']} \leq \frac{C}{a} \int_b^{b'} \eta^2 \frac{v}{\sqrt{y^2 + \phi^2}} K_1(v\sqrt{y^2 + \phi^2}) e^{v\eta \sin \theta} d\eta. \quad (5.18)$$

Recall (4.17), which may reduce to

$$y^2 + (a\phi)^2 > \eta^2(1 - Ca^{-2}\eta^2) \quad (|\phi| < 1) \quad (5.19)$$

(for some $C > 0$), and we evaluate the integral over $\eta < a/v$ and conclude the following

Lemma 5.3.

$$J_{[0,a/v]} \leq C \int_0^{a/v} e^{v\eta \sin \theta} d\eta \asymp \frac{1}{v}.$$

In the rest of this proof of Proposition 5.1 we suppose for simplicity

$$a = 1.$$

The integral $J_{[1/v, \infty)}$ may be easily evaluated with the same bound as above if θ is supposed to be away from $\frac{1}{2}\pi$. In order to include the case when θ is close to $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ and the use of (5.18) does not lead to adequate result we seek a finer estimation of the integral and to this end we

split the remaining interval $[1/v, \infty)$ at $v^{-1/4}$. (For any number $\frac{1}{5} < p < \frac{1}{3}$, we may take v^{-p} as the point of splitting instead of $v^{-1/4}$.)

Put

$$\alpha = \sqrt{1 - \sin \theta}$$

(so that $|\frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta| \sim \sqrt{2}\alpha$).

Lemma 5.4. $J_{[v^{-1}, v^{-1/4}]} \leq C/v\alpha^3$ if $v\alpha^3 \geq 1$.

Proof. In place of Lemma 4.3 we apply Corollary 4.1 (in Section 4,2), according to which we have

$$h_1(1+y, t, \phi) \leq \frac{Cy}{t^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2t} \eta^2 (1 - c\eta^4) \right\}$$

with some universal constant c . In view of the inequality $\sqrt{\eta^2 - c\eta^6} > \eta(1 - c\eta^4)$ ($0 < \eta \ll 1$) this application effects replacing $K_1(v\sqrt{\phi^2 + y^2})$ by $K_1(v\eta - cv\eta^5)$ in the integral of (5.18), so that the exponent appearing in its integrand is at most

$$-v\sqrt{\eta^2 - c\eta^6} + v\eta \sin \theta \leq -v\alpha^2\eta + cv\eta^5.$$

Hence

$$J_{[v^{-1}, v^{-1/4}]} \leq C' \int_{1/v}^{v^{-1/4}} e^{-v\alpha^2\eta + cv\eta^5} \sqrt{v\eta} d\eta$$

and the last integral is dominated by

$$\frac{C'e^c}{v\alpha^3} \int_{\alpha^2}^{v^{3/4}\alpha^2} e^{-u} \sqrt{u} du \leq \frac{C}{v\alpha^3},$$

as desired. □

Lemma 5.5. $J_{[v^{-1/4}, \infty)} = O(v e^{-v^{1/12}})$ if $v\alpha^3 \geq 1$.

Proof. Lemma 4.5 applied with $t = s(< 1)$ and $r = \eta$ gives

$$h_1^*(\xi_1^*(l), s, \theta) \leq \kappa_2 \frac{\eta}{s^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\eta^2}{2s} \right\}. \quad (5.20)$$

Substitution from this bound in (5.6) yields

$$J_{[v^{-1/4}, \infty)} \leq C \int_{v^{-1/4}}^{\infty} e^{(1-\alpha)v\eta} d\eta \int_0^{1/v} \frac{\eta}{s^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{v^2}{2}s - \frac{\eta^2}{2s} \right\} ds. \quad (5.21)$$

On applying (5.16) again the inner integral on the right-hand side above is asymptotic to a constant multiple of $\sqrt{v/\eta} e^{-v\eta}$ as $v\eta \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for $\alpha \geq v^{-1/3}$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{[v^{-1/4}, \infty)} &\leq C' \int_{v^{-1/4}}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha^2 v \eta} \sqrt{\frac{v}{\eta}} d\eta = \frac{C'}{\alpha} \int_{\alpha^2 v^{3/4}}^{\infty} e^{-u} \frac{du}{\sqrt{u}} \\ &\leq \frac{C''}{\alpha^2 v^{3/8}} e^{-\alpha^2 v^{3/4}} \leq C''' v e^{-v^{1/12}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $\alpha^2 v^{3/4} > (\alpha^2 v^{2/3}) v^{1/12}$ and $\alpha^2 v^{3/8} > 1/v$. Thus the lemma has been proved. $\square$

Combining Lemmas 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 we conclude (5.15) as announced at the beginning of Step 2.

Step 3. Here we compute $U_{(a/v, t]}$ and finish the proof of Proposition 5.1. We continue to suppose $a = 1$. Instead of (5.13) we write

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-x(1-\cos\theta)/(t-s)} p_{t-s}^{(2)}(x-1) &= (1-s/t)^{-1} p_t^{(2)}(x-1) e^{-v(1-\cos\theta)} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ \frac{-x^2 s/t + 2vs \cos\theta - s/t}{2(t-s)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and, instead of (5.14), we deduce the following expression of $\psi_1(l, t-s) e^{-\eta^2/2s}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{x \cos\theta - 1}{t-s} [e^{-x(1-\cos\theta)/(t-s)} p_{t-s}^{(2)}(x-1)] e^{x\eta(\sin\theta)/(t-s)} e^{-\eta^2/2(t-s)} \times e^{-\eta^2/2s} \\ &= \left(\frac{t}{t-s} \right)^2 \frac{\Psi_1(x, t, \theta)}{2\pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2(t-s)} \left[\frac{x^2 s}{t} + \frac{\eta^2 t}{s} - 2x\eta \sin\theta - 2vs \cos\theta + \frac{s}{t} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Write the formula in the square brackets in the exponent as

$$\frac{t}{s} \left(\frac{s}{t} x \sin\theta - \eta \right)^2 + s \left[(x \cos\theta - 2)v \cos\theta + \frac{1}{t} \right]$$

and apply Lemma 4.5 to see the bound $h_1^*(\xi_1^*(l), s, \theta) \leq C_1 \eta s^{-1} p_s^{(2)}(\eta)$ for $s < 1$. Then we readily deduce that for $s < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\psi_1(l, t-s) h_1^*(\xi_1^*(l), s, \theta)}{\Psi_1(x, t, \theta)} &\leq C \frac{t^2 \eta}{(t-s)^2 s^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{t}{2(t-s)s} \left(\frac{s}{t} x \sin\theta - \eta \right)^2 \right\} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{s}{2(t-s)} \left[(x \cos\theta - 2)v \cos\theta \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We integrate the right-hand side over the half line $\eta \geq 0$. By applying the inequality

$$\int_0^\infty p_T^{(1)}(\eta - m) \eta d\eta = \int_{-m}^\infty p_T^{(1)}(u) (u + m) du \leq \sqrt{\frac{T}{2\pi}} e^{-m^2/2T} + m$$

(valid for $m > 0, T > 0$), an easy computation yields

$$\frac{U_{[1/v, 1/2]}}{\Psi_1(x, t, \theta)} \leq C' e^{-v/4} + C' v \int_{1/v}^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{s}{2(t-s)} \left[(x \cos\theta - 2)v \cos\theta \right] \right\} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}}$$

($v > 2, t > 1$), of which the right-hand side is $O(e^{-\frac{1}{3}v^{1/3}})$ if $\cos\theta \geq v^{-1/3}$, hence $U_{[1/v, 1/2]}$ is negligible in this regime. We use Lemma 4.5 again to have the bound $h_1^*(\xi_1^*(l), s, \theta) \leq C_1 p_s^{(2)}(\eta)$ for $s \geq 1/2$ and we see $U_{[1/2, t]} = O(e^{-v})$ in a similar way.

The proof of Proposition 5.1 is now complete. $\square$

The next lemma, essentially a corollary of the proof of Proposition 5.1, provides a crude upper bound for the case $\cos\theta \leq -v^{-1/3}$. Combined with Corollary 5.1 it in particular verifies Corollary 5.2.

Lemma 5.6.

$$h_a(x, t, \phi) \leq C \frac{-\Psi_a(x, t, \theta)}{|\theta - \frac{1}{2}\pi|^3 v} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}} < \theta \leq \pi.$$

Proof. We have $h_a(x, t, \phi) \leq U$ (see (5.10 and the discussion succeeding it if necessary) and observe that the identity (5.12), hence the inequality (5.14) are valid for $\frac{1}{2}\pi < \theta < \pi$ if the minus sign is put on the right-hand sides of them. The proof of (5.11) may be then adapted in a trivial way to the present case. $\square$

5.3. UPPER BOUND II.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $v = x/t > 2/a$ and $t > a^2$. For some universal constant C*

$$h_a^*(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) \leq C(av)^{2/3} e^{-av(1-\cos\theta)} p_t^{(2)}(x-a) \quad \text{if} \quad \left| \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta \right| \leq \frac{1}{(av)^{1/3}}.$$

Proof. Let $a = 1$. Put $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta$ and suppose $|\gamma| \leq v^{-1/3}$. Let δ be a small positive number chosen later and $\beta = \gamma + \delta$ and denote by $L(\beta)$ the line passing through the origin and $e^{i(\frac{1}{2}\pi-\beta)}$ so as to make the angle $\frac{1}{2}\pi - \beta$ with the real axis (see Figure 2 in Section 5.4. below). In this proof we consider the first hitting of $L(\beta)$ by the two-dimensional Brownian motion starting at $\mathbf{x} = x$ (or $= xe$). Let y be the coordinate of $L(\beta)$ such that $y = 0$ for the point $e^{i(\frac{1}{2}\pi-\beta)}$ and $y = -1$ for the origin and $\psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}; y, t)$ the density of the hitting distribution of $L(\beta)$. Let $\sigma(L(\beta))$ denote the first hitting time of $L(\beta)$ and $\eta(B_{\sigma(L(\beta))})$ the y coordinate of the hitting site $B_{\sigma(L(\beta))} \in L(\beta)$. Then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}; y, t) &:= \frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[\eta(B_{\sigma(L(\beta))}) \in dy, \sigma(L(\beta)) \in dt]}{dy dt} \\ &= \frac{x \cos \beta}{t} p_t^{(1)}(x \cos \beta) p_t^{(1)}(x \sin \beta - y - 1) \\ &= \frac{x \cos \beta}{t} p_t^{(2)}(x) \exp \left\{ \frac{x(y+1) \sin \beta - \frac{1}{2}(y+1)^2}{t} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

It holds that

$$h_1^*(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) \leq 2 \int_0^t ds \int_0^\infty \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}; y, t-s) h_1^*(\xi^*(y), s, \theta) dy, \tag{5.23}$$

where $\xi^*(y)$ denotes the point of $\mathbb{R}^2$ lying on $L(\beta)$ of coordinate y (see Figure 2 of the next subsection). According to Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5

$$h_1^*(\xi^*(y), s, \theta) \leq \begin{cases} C y s^{-2} e^{-(y^2 + \delta^2)/2s} & \text{if } y < 1, s < 1, \\ C(r s^{-1} \vee 1) p_s(r) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{5.24}$$

where $r = |\xi^*(y) - e^{i\theta}|$. The rest of the proof is performed by showing Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8 given below.

Lemma 5.7. *For some universal constant C ,*

$$\int_0^{1/v} ds \int_0^\infty \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}; y, t-s) h_1^*(\xi^*(y), s, \theta) dy \leq C v e^{v \cos \theta} p_t^{(2)}(x) v^{-1/3}.$$

Proof. We split the range of the outer integral at $y = 1$ and denote the corresponding the repeated integral for $[0, 1]$ and $(1, \infty)$ by $I[0, 1]$ and $I(1, \infty)$, respectively. As in the step 2 of the proof of Lemma 5.1 we see

$$\begin{aligned} I_{[0,1]} &\leq Cvp_t^{(2)}(x) \int_0^1 e^{v(y+1)\sin\beta} dy \int_0^{1/v} \frac{y}{s^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}v^2 s - (y^2 + \delta^2)/2s} ds \\ &\leq Cvp_t^{(2)}(x) \int_0^1 e^{v(y+1)\sin\beta - v\sqrt{y^2 + \delta^2}} \frac{\sqrt{v}y}{(y^2 + \delta^2)^{3/4}} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Put

$$f(y) = \frac{y^2}{\sqrt{y^2 + \delta^2} + \delta} - 2\delta y.$$

Suppose $\delta \geq \gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{y^2 + \delta^2} - (y + 1)\sin\beta &\geq \sqrt{y^2 + \delta^2} - \delta - 2\delta y - \sin\gamma \\ &= f(y) - \sin\gamma \end{aligned}$$

and, since $(x \sin \gamma)/(t - s) = v \sin \gamma + O(1)$ for $s \leq 1/v$ and $\sin \gamma = \cos \theta$, the last integral in (5.25) is dominated by a constant multiple of

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{v \cos \theta} \int_0^1 e^{-vf(y)} \frac{\sqrt{v}y}{(y^2 + \delta^2)^{3/4}} dy \\ &= \frac{e^{v \cos \theta}}{\sqrt{v\delta}} \int_0^{\sqrt{v/\delta}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{\sqrt{1 + u^2/v\delta} + 1} + 2\delta^{3/2}v^{1/2}u \right\} \frac{udu}{(1 + u^2/v\delta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have changed the variable of integration according to $y = (\delta/v)^{1/2}u$. Now taking $\delta = v^{-1/3}$ we can readily conclude that

$$I_{[0,1]} \leq Cve^{v \cos \theta} p_t^{(2)}(x) v^{-1/3}.$$

We can readily compute $I_{(1,\infty)}$ to be $ve^{v \cos \theta} p_t^{(2)}(x) \times O(e^{-v/4})$. Thus the proof of Lemma 5.7 is complete. $\square$

Lemma 5.8. *For some universal constant C ,*

$$\int_{1/v}^t ds \int_0^\infty \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}; y, t-s) h_1^*(\xi^*(y), s, \theta) dy \leq Cve^{v \cos \theta} p_t^{(2)}(x) \times e^{-v/4}.$$

Proof. We restrict the range of the outer integral to $[1/v, 1]$, the other part being easy to estimate, and divide the resulting integral by $p_t^{(2)}(x)$. It suffices to examine the exponent of the exponential factor appearing in $\psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}; y, t-s) h_1^*(\xi^*(y), s, \theta)/p_t^{(2)}(x)$ (in view of (5.22) and (5.24)), which is

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{sx^2}{2t(t-s)} + \frac{2x(y+1)\sin\beta - (y+1)^2}{2(t-s)} - \frac{y^2 + \delta^2}{2s} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2(t-s)} \left(\frac{s}{t}x^2 + \frac{t}{s}y^2 - 4x(y+1)\delta \right) - \frac{y}{2(t-s)} - \frac{\delta^2}{2s}, \end{aligned}$$

where for the inequality we have applied $\sin \beta \leq 2\delta$. On the one hand for $y < 1$,

$$[sx^2t^{-1} - 4x(y+1)\delta]/2(t-s) \geq x(1-8\delta)/2(t-s) \geq v/3,$$

provided $s \geq 1/v$ and $\delta < 1/24$. On the other hand for $y \geq 1$

$$\left(\frac{s}{t}x^2 + \frac{t}{s}y^2 - 4x(y+1)\delta\right) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}x - \sqrt{\frac{t}{s}}y\right)^2 + 2(1 - 2(1+y^{-1})\delta)xy,$$

which may be supposed larger than vyt . From these observations it is easy to ascertain the bound of the lemma. $\square$

5.4. LOWER BOUND II AND COMPLETION OF PROOF OF THEOREM 5.1.

If $\cos \theta > v^{-1/3}$, the first formula of Theorem 5.1 follows from Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.1. Let $|\cos \theta| < v^{-1/3}$. The upper bound in the second relation of Theorem 5.1 follows from Proposition 5.2. For derivation of the lower bound we let $a = 1$ and examine the proof of Lemma 5.7. By the same computation as in it with the help of the lower bound in Proposition 4.1 we see that

$$I_{[\delta^2, 1]} \geq Cve^{v \cos \theta} p_t^{(2)}(x)v^{-1/3},$$

which however is not enough since Brownian motion may have hit $U(1)$ before $L(\beta)$. The proof of the upper bound have rested on the inequality (5.23), while we need a reverse inequality for the lower bound; for the present purpose it suffices to prove

$$h_1^*(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) \geq c \int_0^{1/v} ds \int_{\delta^2}^1 \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}; y, t-s) h_1^*(\xi^*(y), s, \theta) dy$$

for $|\frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta| \leq v^{-1/3}$ and $\delta = v^{-1/3}$ and for some universal constant $c > 0$, which, on comparing with (5.22), follows if we have

$$\psi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}; y, t) \geq c\psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}; y, t) \quad \text{for } \delta^2 < y < 1 \quad (5.26)$$

(with the same c as above), where

$$\psi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}; y, t) = \frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[\eta(B_{\sigma(L(\beta))}) \in dy, \sigma_{L(\beta)} \in dt, \sigma_1 > t]}{dydt}$$

($\eta(B_{\sigma(L(\beta))})$ denotes the y coordinate of $B_{\sigma(L(\beta))}$ as in the preceding proof). Let $L'(\beta)$ be the line tangent to the unit circle at $e^{-i\beta}$ and for the proof of (5.26) we consider the first hitting




Figure 2

by B_t of $L'(\beta)$. Let $\mathbf{z}(l)$ denote the point on $L'(\beta)$ of coordinate l , where $l = 0$ for $e^{-i\beta}(1+i)$ and $l > 0$ on the upper half of $L'(\beta)$ (see Figure 2). Then for $\delta^2 < y < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}; y, t) &= q_0^{(1)}(x \cos \beta, t) p_t^{(1)}(y) \\ &= \int_0^t ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_1^{(1)}(x \cos \beta, t-s) p_{t-s}^{(1)}(l) \psi_\beta(\mathbf{z}(l); y, s) dl\end{aligned}\quad (5.27)$$

and the corresponding relation for $\psi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}; y, t)$ (with ψ_β^* in place of ψ_β in both places). Noting $\psi_\beta(\mathbf{z}(l); y, s) = q_0^{(1)}(1, s) p_s^{(1)}(l-y)$ and integrating w.r.t. l , we apply Lemma 5.9 (i) given below (with $b = 1$ so that $\rho t = 1/v$ and $\sqrt{\rho t} = o(\delta)$) (hence $(\rho t)^{3/2} \ll \delta/v$) to see that the outer integral may be restricted to $|s - 1/v| < \delta/v$, so that

$$\psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}; y, t) \sim \int_{(1-\delta)/v}^{(1+\delta)/v} ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_1^{(1)}(x \cos \beta, t-s) p_{t-s}^{(1)}(l) \psi_\beta(\mathbf{z}(l); y, s) dl,$$

of which the inner integral may be restricted to $l > 0$ with at least half the contribution of the integral preserved. Thus the proof of (5.26) is finished if we show that for some $c > 0$, $\psi_\beta^*(\mathbf{z}(l); y, s) \geq c \psi_\beta(\mathbf{z}(l); y, s)$ for $y > s^{2/3}$ and $l \geq 0$, which we rewrite in terms of ψ_0 and ψ_0^* as

$$\psi_0^*(1 + i(1+l); y, s) \geq c \psi_0(1 + i(1+l); y, s), \quad l \geq 0, y > s^{2/3}. \quad (5.28)$$

This is proved in Lemma 5.10 after showing the following lemma.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $0 < b < x$ and put $\rho = b/x$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant $M \geq 1$ that depends only on ε such that (i) whenever $\rho t < 1/M$, $\rho < 1 - \varepsilon$ and $b \geq \varepsilon$,*

$$\int_{|s - \rho t| < M(\rho t)^{3/2}} q_b^{(1)}(x, t-s) q_0^{(1)}(b, s) ds \geq (1 - \varepsilon) q_0^{(1)}(x, t), \quad (5.29)$$

and (ii) whenever $bt < x^3/M^2$, $\rho < 1 - \varepsilon$ and $b < 1$, (5.29) holds if the range of integration is replaced by $|s - \rho t| < M(\rho t)^{3/2} b^{-1}$.

The integral in (5.29) extended to the whole interval $[0, t]$ equals $q_0^{(1)}(x, t)$ and the lemma asserts that substantial contribution to it comes from a small interval about $\rho t = bt/x$ (at least if x is kept away from zero).

Proof. In this and the next proofs we apply the identity

$$p_{t-s}^{(1)}(z - \xi) p_s^{(1)}(y - z) = p_t^{(1)}(y - \xi) p_T^{(1)}\left(\frac{s}{t}(y - \xi) - y + z\right), \quad T = \frac{s(t-s)}{t} \quad (5.30)$$

($0 < s < t, y, z, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$), This gives

$$q_b^{(1)}(x, t-s) q_0^{(1)}(b, s) = \frac{(x-b)b}{(t-s)s} p_t^{(1)}(x) p_T^{(1)}\left(\frac{s}{t}x - b\right).$$

The rang of integration of the integral in (5.29) may be written as

$$|s/t - \rho| \leq M\rho\sqrt{\rho t}, \quad (5.31)$$

which entails $\frac{(x-b)b}{(t-s)s} = \frac{(1-\rho)xb}{(1-s/t)ts} \sim \frac{xb}{ts}$ as $\rho t \rightarrow 0$, and hence it suffices to show that

$$\int_{|s-\rho t| < M(\rho t)^{3/2}} \frac{b}{s} p_T^{(1)}\left(\frac{s}{t}x - b\right) ds > 1 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \quad (5.32)$$

if $1/\rho t$ and M are large enough. Observing

$$\frac{b}{s} p_T^{(1)}\left(\frac{s}{t}x - b\right) = \frac{b}{s\sqrt{2\pi(1-s/t)s}} \exp\left\{-\frac{b^2}{2(1-s/t)\rho t}\left(\frac{s}{\rho t} + \frac{\rho t}{s} - 2\right)\right\}$$

and $u + u^{-1} - 2 = (1-u)^2 + O((1-u)^3)$ as $u \rightarrow 1$, we apply the Laplace method to see that the integral in (5.32) is asymptotic to

$$\int_{|u-1| < M\sqrt{\rho t}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda}} e^{-(u-1)^2/2\lambda} du,$$

where $\lambda = (1-\rho)\rho t/b^2$. If the variable of integration is changed by $y = (u-1)/\sqrt{\lambda}$, then this integral becomes $\int_{-r}^r p_1^{(1)}(y) dy$ with r given by

$$r = M\sqrt{\rho t/\lambda} = Mb/\sqrt{1-\rho},$$

which extends to the whole line as $M \rightarrow \infty$ if $b \geq \varepsilon$. Thus we obtain the assertion (i).

As for the second assertion (ii) we multiply the right-hand side of (5.31) by b^{-1} , and if $b^{-1}\rho\sqrt{\rho t} = \sqrt{\rho t}/x = \sqrt{bt/x^3} \rightarrow 0$, then $\frac{(x-b)b}{(t-s)s} \sim \frac{xb}{ts}$ as above. The rest of the proof is the same. $\square$

Recall (5.28) and note that it expresses the inequality

$$\frac{P_{1+i+l}[\Im B_\tau - 1 \in dy, \tau \in ds, \tau < \sigma_1]}{dsdy} \geq \frac{cP_{1+i+l}[\Im B_\tau - 1 \in dy, \tau \in ds]}{dsdy}, \quad (5.33)$$

where B_t is a standard complex Brownian motion and τ is the first hitting time of the imaginary axis by it.

Lemma 5.10. *For a constant $c > 0$, (5.33) holds true for $0 < s \leq 1$, $l \geq 0$ and $y \geq s^{2/3}$.*

Proof. The proof rests on the fact that if Y_t denote the linear Brownian motion $\Im B_t$, then the conditional probability

$$P[Y_{s'} > 0, 0 \leq s' \leq s | Y_0 = l, Y_s = y] = 1 - e^{-2yl/s} \quad (l > 0, y > 0, s > 0) \quad (5.34)$$

is bounded away from zero if (and only if) so is yl/s . ((5.34) is immediate from the expression of transition density for Y_t killed at the origin.)

For $\xi > 0$ put

$$Q_\xi(y, t) = q_0^{(1)}(\xi, t) p_t^{(1)}(y).$$

Then for $0 < b < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(1+i(1+l); y, s) &= Q_1(y-l, s) \\ &= \int_0^s ds' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{1-b}(y'-l, s-s') Q_b(y-y', s') dy'. \end{aligned}$$

Take $b = s^{1/3}$ in the last integral. Then by performing the integration w.r.t. y' and noting $(bs)^{3/2}b^{-1} = b^2s$ we apply Lemma 5.9 (ii) (with $x = 1$) to infer that the s' -integration above may be restricted to the interval

$$|s' - bs| \leq Mb^2s$$

with some $M \geq 1$. Let $\phi = \tan^{-1} b$, $\eta = |b + i - e^{i\phi}| (= \sec \phi - 1)$ and $\sigma(L_b)$ be the first hitting time of the line $L_b := \{b + iy' : y' \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Since the slope of the tangent line of $\partial U(1)$ at $e^{i\phi}$ is $b + o(b)$ and

$$b\eta/s \sim 1/2$$

(as $s \rightarrow 0$), the identity (5.34) shows that if $s' \sim (1 - b)s \sim s$,

$$\frac{P_{1+i(1+l)}[\Im B_{\sigma(L_b)} \in dy', \sigma(L_b) \in ds']}{dy'ds'} \geq c_1 Q_{1-b}(y', s'), \quad y' \geq 0$$

with $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-1})$, hence $\psi_0^*(1 + i(1 + l); y, s)$ is bounded below by a constant multiple of

$$\int_{|s' - bs| < Mb^2s} ds' \int_{y' \geq 0} Q_{1-b}(y' - l, s - s') \psi_0^*(b + i(1 + y'); y, s') dy'.$$

It therefore suffices to show that there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that if $s' \sim bs$ and $y \geq s^{2/3}$, then

$$\psi_0^*(b + i(1 + y'); y, s') \geq c_2 Q_b(y - y', s'), \quad y' \geq 0,$$

which also follows from (5.34) as is easily checked by noting $s^{2/3}\eta/b s \sim \frac{1}{2}$. Thus the lemma has been proved. $\square$

6 Case $d \geq 3$ and Legendre Process

This section consists of two subsections. The first one concerns the transition density of a Legendre Process and provides the spectral expansion of it as well as its behavior for small time which are employed in Section 3.3 and Section 4, respectively. The second subsection is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.4 for $d \geq 3$.

6.1. LEGENDRE PROCESS.

Let $d \geq 3$. The colatitude Θ_t of $B_t/|B_t|$ is a Legendre process on $[0, \pi]$ regulated by the generator

$$\frac{1}{2 \sin^{2\nu} \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin^{2\nu} \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \nu \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

with each boundary point being entrance and non-exit ([6]). We compute the transition law of Θ_t . Let $P_t^\nu(\theta_0, \theta)$ be the density of it w. r. t. the normalized invariant measure:

$$\frac{P[\Theta_t \in d\theta | \Theta_0 = \theta_0]}{d\theta} = P_t^\nu(\theta_0, \theta) \frac{\sin^{2\nu} \theta}{\mu_d},$$

where $\mu_d = \int_0^\pi \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta = \omega_{d-1}/\omega_{d-2}$.

6.1.1. EIGENFUNCTION EXPANSION. Eigenfunctions of the Legendre semigroup are given by

$$C_n^\nu(\cos \theta) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\Gamma(\nu + j)\Gamma(n + \nu - j)}{j!(n - j)![\Gamma(\nu)]^2} \cos[(2j - n)\theta],$$

where C_n^ν is a polynomial of order n called the Gegenbauer (alias ultraspherical) polynomial and in the special case $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ it agrees with the Legendre polynomial (see Appendix (A)). They together constitute a complete orthogonal system of $L^2([0, \pi], \sin^{2\nu} \theta d\theta)$. (Cf. [11], p.151 and [21], p.367; also [13], Section 4.5 for $\nu = 1/2$.) Given $\nu > 0$, we denote their normalization by $h_n(\theta)$:

$$h_n(\theta) = \sqrt{\mu_d} \gamma_n^{-1} C_n^\nu(\cos \theta),$$

where the factors $\gamma_n > 0$ are given by

$$\gamma_n^2 = \int_0^\pi [C_n^\nu(\cos \theta)]^2 \sin^{2\nu} \theta d\theta = \frac{\pi \Gamma(n + 2\nu)}{2^{2\nu-1} [\Gamma(\nu)]^2 (n + \nu) n!}$$

(cf. [9]). (It is readily checked that $\mu_d/\gamma_0^2 = 1$, so that $h_0 \equiv 1$.) Then

$$P_t^\nu(\theta_0, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n+2\nu)t} h_n(\theta_0) h_n(\theta). \quad (6.1)$$

For translation of the formula of Corollary 3.1 into that of Theorem 2.3 one may use the formulae $C_n^\nu(1) = \Gamma(n + 2\nu)/\Gamma(2\nu)n!$ and $\Gamma(2\nu) = 2^{2\nu-1}\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})/\sqrt{\pi}$ to see

$$h_n(0)h_n(\theta) = \frac{\mu_d C_n^\nu(1)}{\gamma_n^2} C_n^\nu(\cos \theta) = \frac{\nu + n}{\nu} C_n^\nu(\cos \theta).$$

6.1.2. EVALUATION OF $P_t^\nu(0, \theta)$ FOR t SMALL. An application of transformation of drift shows that uniformly for $0 \leq \theta < 1$ and $t < 1$

$$P_t^\nu(0, \theta) = \omega_{d-1} p_t^{(d-1)}(\theta) \left[1 + O(\theta^4 + t) \right]. \quad (6.2)$$

Indeed, if X_t is a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional Bessel process, $\gamma(\theta) = \nu(\cot \theta - \theta^{-1})$ and

$$Z_t = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \gamma(X_s) dX_s - \int_0^t [\nu \gamma(X_s) X_s^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2(X_s)] ds \right\}$$

then

$$P[\Theta_t \in d\theta, \mathcal{E}_t^\Theta \mid \Theta_0 = \theta_0] = E^{BS(\nu-\frac{1}{2})}[Z_t; X_t \in d\theta, \mathcal{E}_t^X \mid X_0 = 0],$$

where $\mathcal{E}_t^\Theta = \{\Theta_s < 1 \text{ for } s < t\}$, $\mathcal{E}_t^X = \{X_s < 1 \text{ for } s < t\}$ and $E^{BS(\nu-\frac{1}{2})}$ signifies the expectation by the law of the Bessel process X_t . By simple computation using Ito's formula we have

$$Z_t = \exp \left\{ \int_0^{X_t} \gamma(u) du - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t [\gamma'(X_s) + 2\nu \gamma(X_s) X_s^{-1} + \gamma^2(X_s)] ds \right\}$$

as well as $\gamma(\theta) = -\frac{1}{3}2\nu\theta + O(\theta^3)$, $\gamma'(\theta) = -\frac{1}{3}2\nu + O(\theta^2)$. Noting that $p_t^{(d-1)}(\theta)$ is the density of $P^{BS(\nu-\frac{1}{2})}[X_t \in d\theta \mid X_0 = 0]$ w.r.t. $\omega_{d-2}\theta^{d-2}d\theta$ and

$$[\omega_{d-2}\theta^{d-2}]/[\mu_d^{-1}\sin^{2\nu}\theta] = \omega_{d-1}(1 + 3^{-1}\nu\theta^2) + O(\theta^4),$$

substitution yields (6.2).

6.2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4 ($d \geq 3$).

Recall the definitions of $g(\theta; x, t)$ given in Section 3.3.1 and of $h_a(x, t, \phi)$ in (4.1). Noting $|d\xi| = a^{d-1}\omega_{d-1}m_a(d\xi)$, we then see that for $\mathbf{x} = x\mathbf{e}$ and $\xi \in \partial U(a)$ of colatitude θ

$$\frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[B_{\sigma_a} \in d\xi, \sigma_a \in dt]}{|d\xi|dt} = \frac{g(\theta; x, t)}{a^{d-1}\omega_{d-1}}q^{(d)}(x, t) = \frac{h_a(x, t, \theta)}{a^{d-1}\omega_{d-1}}$$

and that owing to Theorem A the two relations of Theorem 2.4 are equivalent to the corresponding ones in Theorem 5.1 if adapted to the higher dimensions: in the right-hand side of the first formula of Theorem 5.1 the heading factor $2\pi a$ is replaced by $a^{d-1}\omega_{d-1}$ and $p_t^{(2)}(x-a)$ by $p_t^{(d)}(x-a)$, and similarly for the second one. For the proof of them we may repeat the same procedure for two-dimensional case with suitable modification, but here we adopt another way of reducing the problem to that for the two-dimensional case: roughly speaking we have $(d-2)$ -dimensional variable, denoted by $\mathbf{z}$, against which the additional factor

$$p_{t-s}^{(d-2)}(z)p_s^{(d-2)}(z), \quad z = |\mathbf{z}| \quad (6.3)$$

that must be incorporated in the computation is integrated to produce the factor $p_t^{(d-2)}(0)$ (because of the semi-group property of p_t), which together with $p_t^{(2)}(x-a)$ constitutes the factor $p_t^{(d)}(x-a)$ in the final formula.

More details are given below. Recollecting the proof of Proposition 5.1, we regard the two-dimensional space where the problem is discussed in it as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$ in this proof and the line $L(\theta)$ (introduced in the proof of Lemma 5.1) as the intersection of this subspace with a $(d-1)$ -dimensional hyper-plane, named $\Delta(\theta)$, that is tangent at ξ with $\xi \cdot \mathbf{e} = \cos \theta$ to the sphere $\partial U(a)$. (Here we write $\Delta(\theta)$ for the hyper-plane which is determined not by θ but by ξ since the variable θ is essential in the present issue.) Let $M(\theta, l)$ be the $(d-2)$ -dimensional subspace contained in $\Delta(\theta)$ passing through $\xi^*(l) \in L(\theta)$ (l is a coordinate of $L(\theta)$ as before) and perpendicular to the line $L(\theta)$. Put

$$H_a(\mathbf{y}, t, \xi) = \frac{P_{\mathbf{y}}[B(\sigma_a) \in d\xi, \sigma_a \in dt]}{m_a(d\xi)dt} \quad (\mathbf{y} \notin U(a), \xi \in \partial U(a))$$

and

$$\psi(l, t) = \frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[\text{pr}_{L(\theta)}B(\sigma_{\Delta(\theta)}) \in dl, \sigma_{\Delta(\theta)} \in dt]}{dl dt},$$

where $\text{pr}_{L(\theta)}$ denotes the orthogonal projection on $L(\theta)$, and define $U^{(d)}$ as in (5.6) but with $H_a(\mathbf{y}, t, \xi)$ in place of $h_a^*(\mathbf{y}, t, \theta)$. Then for each l the claim (5.11) is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} U^{(d)} &= \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(l, t-s) dl \int_{M(\theta, l)} p_{t-s}^{(d-2)}(z) H_a(\xi^*(l) + \mathbf{z}, s, \xi) |d\mathbf{z}| \\ &\leq \frac{C\Psi_a(x, t, \theta)}{av \cos^3 \theta}. \end{aligned}$$

For the region in which $z < \eta$, we may simply multiply the integrand in (5.6) by (6.3) without anything that requires particular attention. If $z > \eta$, we also multiply the integrand by $p_{t-s}^{(d-2)}(\mathbf{z})$, replace $h_a^*(\xi^*(l), s, \theta)$ by $H_a(\xi^*(l) + \mathbf{z}, s, \xi)$ and use the bound

$$H_a(\xi^*(l) + \mathbf{z}, s, \xi) \leq \frac{Cz^2}{s} p_s^{(2)}(\eta) p_s^{(d-2)}(z) \left(1 \vee \frac{z^2}{\sqrt{s}}\right) e^{C_1 z^6 / 2s}$$

in Step 2 (Lemmas 5.3 and 5.5) (i.e., the step corresponding to that in the proof of Proposition 5.1), and

$$H_a(\xi^*(l) + \mathbf{z}, s, \xi) \leq \frac{Cz}{s} p_s^{(2)}(\eta) p_s^{(d-2)}(z)$$

in the last part of Step 2 (Lemma 5.5) and in Step 3. In Step 2 there appears the integral

$$\int_0^b \left(\frac{z^2}{s} + \frac{z^4}{\sqrt{s}} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{z^2 - 6C_1 z^6}{2T} \right\} \frac{z^{d-3} dz}{T^{(d-2)/2}} \quad \text{where} \quad T = \frac{s(t-s)}{t},$$

which is made less than unity for $s < 1/v$ by taking b small enough, especially with $b = v^{-1/4}$. In Step 3 (and the last part of Step 2) we have only to notice that

$$\int_b^\infty \frac{z}{\sqrt{s}} p_{t-s}^{(d-2)}(z) p_s^{(d-2)}(z) z^{d-3} dz \leq C e^{-vb/4}$$

for $s < 1/v$. With these considerations taken into account the proof of Proposition 5.1 goes through virtually intact. The further details are omitted.

In a similar way Proposition 5.2 and the lower bound obtained in **5.3** are extended to the dimensions $d \geq 3$.

7 Brownian Motion with A Constant Drift

In this section we present the results for the Brownian motion with a constant drift that are readily derived from those given above for the bridge. The Brownian motion B_t started at $\mathbf{x}$ and conditioned to hit $U(a)$ at t with $v := x/t$ kept away from zero may be comparable or similar to the process $B_t - tve$ in significant respects and some of our results for the former one is more naturally comprehensible in its translation in terms of the latter (see (7.7) at the end of this section).

7.1. Formulae in general setting

Given $v > 0$, we put

$$\mathbf{v} = ve$$

(but $\mathbf{x} \notin U(a)$ is arbitrary) and label the objects defined by means of $B_t^{(\mathbf{v})} := B_t - tv$ in place of B_t with the superscript $(\mathbf{v})$ like $\sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})}$, $\Theta_t^{(\mathbf{v})}$, etc. The translation is made by using the formula for drift transform. We put $\gamma(\cdot) = -\mathbf{v}$ (constant function) and $Z(s) = e^{\int_0^s \gamma(B_u) \cdot dB_u - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s |\gamma|^2(B_u) du}$, so that $P_{\mathbf{x}}[(B_t^{(\mathbf{v})})_{t \leq s} \in \Gamma] = E_{\mathbf{x}}[Z(s); (B_t)_{t \leq s} \in \Gamma]$ for Γ a measurable set of $C([0, s], \mathbb{R}^d)$. It follows that $Z(\sigma_a) = \exp\{-\mathbf{v} \cdot B(\sigma_a) + \mathbf{v} \cdot B_0 - \frac{1}{2} v^2 \sigma_a\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathbf{x}}[B^{(\mathbf{v})}(\sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})}) \in d\xi, \sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})} \in dt] \\ = e^{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} v^2 t} e^{-\mathbf{v} \cdot \xi} P_{\mathbf{x}}[B(\sigma_a) \in d\xi, \sigma_a \in dt], \end{aligned}$$

and putting

$$f_{a,t}^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \frac{e^{-\mathbf{v} \cdot \xi} P_{\mathbf{x}}[B(\sigma_a) \in d\xi \mid \sigma_a = t]}{m_a(d\xi)},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[B^{(\mathbf{v})}(\sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})}) \in d\xi, \sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})} \in dt]}{m_a(d\xi)dt} &= e^{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}v^2t} q_a^{(d)}(x, t) f_{a,t}^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, \xi), \\ \frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[\sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})} \in dt]}{dt} &= e^{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}v^2t} q_a^{(d)}(x, t) \int_{\partial U(a)} f_{a,t}^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, \xi) m_a(d\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

and

$$\frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[B^{(\mathbf{v})}(\sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})}) \in d\xi \mid \sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})} = t]}{m_a(d\xi)} = \frac{f_{a,t}^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, \xi)}{\int_{\partial U(a)} f_{a,t}^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, \xi) m_a(d\xi)}. \quad (7.2)$$

Suppose $x/t \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 2.2,

$$f_{a,t}^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = e^{-\mathbf{v} \cdot \xi} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{x}{t} \ell(x, t)\right) \right),$$

so that

$$\frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[\sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})} \in dt]}{dt} = \left[\int_{|\xi|=a} e^{-\mathbf{v} \cdot \xi} m_a(d\xi) \right] e^{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}v^2t} q_a^{(d)}(x, t) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{x}{t} \ell(x, t)\right) \right),$$

where $\ell(x, t)$ is the same function as given in Theorem 2.2 if $d = 2$ and $\ell(x, t) \equiv 1$ if $d \geq 3$. Noting $e^{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}v^2t} p_t^{(d)}(x) = p_t^{(d)}(|\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{v}|)$ we deduce from Theorem A that

$$e^{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}v^2t} q_a^{(d)}(x, t) = a^{2\nu} \Lambda_{\nu}\left(\frac{ax}{t}\right) p_t^{(d)}(|\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{v}|) \left[1 - \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{2\nu} \right] (1 + o(1)) \quad (7.3)$$

for $d \geq 3$ and an analogous relation for $d = 2$ (where the formula must be modified in the case $x \leq \sqrt{t}$ according to (2.2)). We have the identities $C_0^{\nu} \equiv 1$ and

$$\int_0^{\pi} e^{-z \cos \theta} C_n^{\nu}(\cos \theta) \sin^{2\nu} \theta d\theta = (-1)^n \frac{2^{\nu} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(n + 2\nu)}{\Gamma(2\nu) n!} \cdot \frac{I_{n+\nu}(z)}{z^{\nu}},$$

where $I_{\nu}(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order ν and, on putting $n = 0$ in the latter,

$$\int_{|\xi|=a} e^{-\mathbf{v} \cdot \xi} m_a(d\xi) = \frac{2^{\nu} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}{\mu_d} \cdot \frac{I_{\nu}(v)}{v^{\nu}}.$$

Let $g(\phi; y)$, $y > 0$, denote the function represented by the series in (2.9), namely

$$g(\phi; y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{K_{\nu}(y)}{K_{\nu+n}(y)} H_n(\phi). \quad (7.4)$$

Then, owing to Theorem 2.3, as $x/t \rightarrow \tilde{v} > 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$f_{a,t}^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = e^{-\mathbf{v} \cdot \xi} g(\phi; a\tilde{v})(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \partial U(a),$$

where $\xi \cdot \mathbf{x}/ax = \cos \phi$. It is worth noting that if $\tilde{v}/v$ is small, then the function $e^{-\mathbf{v} \cdot \xi} g(\theta; a\tilde{v})$ is maximized about $\xi_a := -a\mathbf{e} \in \partial U(a)$ (not $a\mathbf{e}$) irrespective of $\mathbf{x}$.

7.2. Case $\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{v} = o(t)$

In this subsection we let $\mathbf{x} = x\mathbf{e}$, while $\mathbf{v}$ is arbitrary but subject to the condition

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}}{t} - \mathbf{v} \longrightarrow 0,$$

so that

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \xi = t^{-1} \mathbf{x} \cdot \xi + o(1) = av \cos \theta + o(1)$$

uniformly for $\xi \in \partial U(a)$ with $\xi \cdot \mathbf{x}/ax = \cos \theta$. Define $g_a^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta)$ by

$$g_a^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) = \frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}[B^{(\mathbf{v})}(\sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})}) \in d\xi \mid \sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})} = t]}{m_a(d\xi)}.$$

Then by (7.2)

$$g_a^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) = \frac{e^{-\mathbf{v} \cdot \xi} g_a(x, t, \theta)}{\mu_d^{-1} \int_0^\pi e^{-\mathbf{v} \cdot \xi} g_a(x, t, \phi) \sin^{d-2} \phi d\phi}, \quad (7.5)$$

where $g_a(x, t, \theta)$ is defined in (7.4). Let Ξ_{av} denote the (normalizing) constant

$$\Xi_{av} = \int_0^\pi e^{-av \cos \theta} g(\theta; av) \frac{\sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta}{\mu_d}.$$

(Remember that $g(\theta; av)$ is the density w.r.t. $\mu_d^{-1} \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta$ of the limit distribution of $\Theta(\sigma_a)$ conditioned on $\sigma_a = t$, $B_0 = x\mathbf{e}$.) Then as $t \rightarrow \infty$ under $|\mathbf{x}/t - \mathbf{v}| \rightarrow 0$, we have $\Xi_{av} \sim \int_{|\xi|=a} f_{a,t}^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, \xi) m_a(d\xi)$ and hence

$$(i) \quad \frac{P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})} \in dt]}{dt} \sim \Xi_{av} a^{2\nu} \Lambda_\nu(av) p_t^{(d)}(|\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{v}|)(1 + o(1));$$

$$(ii) \quad g_a^{(\mathbf{v})}(x\mathbf{e}, t, \theta) \sim \frac{1}{\Xi_{av}} e^{-av \cos \theta} g(\theta; av),$$

where the last asymptotic relation is uniform for $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ and $v \leq M$ for any $M > 0$; for (i) use the identities (7.1) and (7.3).

Similarly, substituting the formula of Corollary 2.1 in (7.5) (cf. (3.12)) we obtain an asymptotic form of $g_a^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta)$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$. On observing that this leads to

$$\Xi_{av} \sim \mu_d^{-1} v \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{d-2} \theta \cos \theta d\theta = \omega_{d-2} v / (d-1) \omega_{d-1}$$

($v \rightarrow \infty$), a simple computation yields the following asymptotic relations: as $v \rightarrow \infty$ and $|x - tv|/t \rightarrow 0$,

$$\frac{P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})} \in dt]}{dt} \sim \frac{\omega_{d-2}}{d-1} a^{2\nu+1} v p_t^{(d)}(|\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{v}|)$$

and, if $(av)^{-1/3} \leq \cos \theta \leq 1$,

$$g_a^{(\mathbf{v})}(x\mathbf{e}, t, \theta) = (d-1) \mu_d \left[\cos \theta + O\left(\frac{1}{av \cos^2 \theta}\right) \right] (1 + o(1)),$$

where $o(1)$ is independent of θ ; also Corollary 2.2 may translate into

$$P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\Theta_t^{(\mathbf{v})} \in d\theta \mid \sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})} = t] \implies (d-1)\mathbf{1}(0 \leq \theta < \tfrac{1}{2}\pi) \sin^{d-2} \theta \cos \theta d\theta. \quad (7.6)$$

The last convergence result may be intuitively comprehended by noticing that the right-hand side is the law of the colatitude of a random variable taking values in the ‘northern hemisphere’ of $\partial U(a)$ whose projection on the ‘equatorial plane’ is uniformly distributed on the “hyper disc”, $\mathbb{D}$ say, on the plane; in short it may be thought as the distribution on the sphere induced by the uniform ray coming from the direction $\mathbf{e}$. Let $\text{pr}_{\mathbf{e}}$ denote this projection on the equatorial plane. Then the result given in (7.6) may be restated as follows: $P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\text{pr}_{\mathbf{e}} B_t^{(\mathbf{v})} \in dw \mid \sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})} = t]$, $dw \subset \mathbb{D}$ converges weakly to the uniform measure on $\mathbb{D}$. We rephrase Theorem 2.4 in a similar fashion. Let $\xi \in \partial U(a)$, $\xi \cdot \mathbf{e} = a \cos \theta$ and $w = \text{pr}_{\mathbf{e}} \xi$ and note that

$$a - |w| \sim 2^{-1} a \cos^2 \theta \quad (\theta \rightarrow \tfrac{1}{2}\pi), \quad \cos \theta = \sqrt{1 - |w|^2/a^2} \quad \text{and} \quad |d\xi| = |dw|/\cos \theta$$

and that $m_a(d\xi) = a^{-d+1}|d\xi|/\omega_{d-1}$ and $\omega_{d-2} = (d-1)c_{d-1}^*$, where c_n^* denotes the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then, from Theorem 2.4 we deduce that uniformly for $w \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\text{pr}_{\mathbf{e}} B_t^{(\mathbf{v})} \in dw \mid \sigma_a^{(\mathbf{v})} = t]}{[a^{d-1}c_{d-1}^*]^{-1}|dw|} \\ &= \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(1 - |w|/a)^{3/2}av} \right) \right] (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{for } |w|/a < 1 - (av)^{-2/3}, \\ &\asymp (av)^{-1/3} / \sqrt{1 - |w|/a} \quad \text{for } 1 - (av)^{-2/3} \leq |w|/a \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

as $v \rightarrow \infty$ and $|x\mathbf{e}/t - \mathbf{v}| \rightarrow 0$, showing convergence of the density on the one hand and indicating the effect of Brownian noise that manifests itself as the singularity of the density along the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$.

The strict equalities $\mathbf{x}/x = \mathbf{v}/v = \mathbf{e}$ we have assumed above can be relaxed. Essential assumption is $\mathbf{x} - t\mathbf{v} = o(t)$, entailing that $\mathbf{v} \cdot \xi = t^{-1}\mathbf{x} \cdot \xi + o(1) = av \cos \theta + o(1)$ uniformly for $\xi \in \partial U(a)$ with $\xi \cdot \mathbf{x}/ax = \cos \theta$. The identity (7.1) does not hold any more, but two sides of it are asymptotically equivalent and the other relations including (7.2) remain valid.

8 Appendix

(A) The Gegenbauer polynomials $C_n^\nu(x)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, may be defined as the coefficients of z^n in the Taylor series $(z^2 - 2xz + 1)^{-\nu} = \sum C_n^\nu(x)z^n$ ($|z| < 1, |x| \leq 1, \nu > 0$) and form an orthogonal basis of the space $L^2([-1, 1], (1-x)^\nu)$ (cf. page 151 of [11]). The function $u(x) = C_n^\nu(x)$ satisfies

$$(x^2 - 1)u'' + (2\nu + 1)xu' - n(n + 2\nu)u = 0$$

and it follows that if $Y(\theta) = u(\cos \theta)$,

$$\frac{1}{2}Y'' + \nu \cot \theta Y' + \frac{n(n + \nu)}{2}Y = 0.$$

(B) The density $P_{\mathbf{x}}[B(\sigma_a) \in d\xi, \sigma_a \in dt]/m_a(d\xi)dt$ admits an explicit eigenfunction expansion. In the case $d = 2$ it is given below. Let $p_{(a)}^0(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ denote the transition probability of a two-dimensional Brownian motion that is killed when it hits $U(a)$. Then according to Eq(8) on p. 378 in [2]

$$p_{(a)}^0(t, x\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \cos n\theta \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda^2 t/2} \frac{U_n(\lambda, x)U_n(\lambda, y)}{J_n^2(a\lambda) + Y_n^2(a\lambda)} \lambda d\lambda, \quad (8.1)$$

where J_n and Y_n are the usual Bessel functions of the first and second kind, respectively,

$$U_n(\lambda, y) = Y_n(\lambda a)J_n(\lambda y) - J_n(\lambda a)Y_n(\lambda y)$$

and $\mathbf{y} = (y, \theta)$, the polar coordinate of $\mathbf{y}$ (with $y = |\mathbf{y}|$, $\cos \theta = \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{e}/y$). From the identity $(Y_\nu J'_\nu - J_\nu Y'_\nu)(z) = -2/\pi z$ it follows that $(\partial/\partial y)U_n(\lambda, y)|_{y=a} = -2/\pi a$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\text{Arg } B_t \in d\theta, \sigma_a \in dt]}{ad\theta dt} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} p_{(a)}^0(t, x\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{y})|_{y=a} \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} I_n(x, t) \cos n\theta \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_n(x, t) = \frac{1}{2a\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda^2 t/2} \frac{-U_n(\lambda, x)\lambda d\lambda}{J_n^2(a\lambda) + Y_n^2(a\lambda)}.$$

Since integration by $ad\theta$ reduces the density given above to $q_a^{(2)}(x, t)$, we have

$$q_a^{(2)}(x, t) = 2\pi a I_0(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda^2 t/2} \frac{-U_0(\lambda, x)\lambda d\lambda}{J_0^2(a\lambda) + Y_0^2(a\lambda)}$$

and

$$\frac{P_{x\mathbf{e}}[\text{Arg } B_t \in d\theta | \sigma_a = t]}{2\pi d\theta} = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(x, t)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n(x, t) \cos n\theta.$$

On comparing with (3.14) $2I_n(x, t)$ must agree with $a^{-1}q_a^{(2)}(x, t)\alpha_n(x, t)$, so that

$$q_a^{(2n+2)}(x, t) = \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^n 2a\pi I_n(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^n \int_0^{\infty} \frac{-U_n(\sqrt{2\alpha}, x)e^{-\alpha t}d\alpha}{J_n^2(a\sqrt{2\alpha}) + Y_n^2(a\sqrt{2\alpha})}.$$

This last formula, though not used in this paper, is valid for non-integral n and useful: e.g., its use provides another approach in which one may dispense with the arguments using the Cauchy integral theorem for the proofs in [15] and [17].

The integral transform involved in the Fourier series (8.1) is derived by using the Weber formula ([12], p. 86) and the higher-dimensional analogue is given by the Legendre series (as in (6.1) with an integral transform similar to the one in (8.1)).

(C) We prove that for each $\delta > 0$, as $y \downarrow 0$ and $\phi \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{1}{a^{d-1}\omega_{d-1}} \int_0^{\delta} h_a(a + y, s, \phi) ds = \frac{2y}{\omega_{d-1}[y^2 + (a\phi)^2]^{d/2}} (1 + o(1)) \quad (8.2)$$

(a result used in Remark 5). This is an expression of the obvious fact that as $y \downarrow 0$ the hitting distribution of $\partial U(a)$ for the Brownian motion started at $(a + y)\mathbf{e}$ converges to that of the plane tangent to it at $a\mathbf{e}$: the ratio on the right-hand side is a substitute of the density of the latter distribution, where $(a\phi)^2$ in the denominator must be replaced by $|\mathbf{z} - a\mathbf{e}|^2$ with $\mathbf{z}$ being any point of the plane such that $\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{e}/|\mathbf{z}| = \cos \theta$.

For verification let $P(\mathbf{z}, \xi; a)$ be the Poisson kernel of the exterior of the ball $U(a)$, with respect to the uniform probability $m_a(d\xi)$ so that $\int_{\partial U(a)} P(\mathbf{z}, \xi; a) m_a(d\xi) = (a/z)^{2\nu}$ ($z = |\mathbf{z}| > a$). It is given by

$$P(\mathbf{z}, \xi; a) = \frac{a^{2\nu}(z^2 - a^2)}{|\mathbf{z} - \xi|^d}, \quad z > a, \xi \in \partial U(a).$$

Let ξ be such that $\mathbf{z} \cdot \xi/za = \cos \phi$. Then by an elementary computation we find that

$$P(\mathbf{z}, \xi; a) = \frac{2a^{2\nu+1}y}{[y^2 + (a\phi)^2]^{d/2}}(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } y := z - a \downarrow 0, \phi \rightarrow 0$$

and this shows (8.2), for $P(\mathbf{z}, \xi; a)$ equals the whole integral $\int_0^\infty h_a(\mathbf{z}, s, \phi) ds$ and this integral restricted to $[\delta, \infty)$ is dominated by a constant multiple of y owing to Theorem A and Theorem 2.2 (cf. the first inequality of Lemma 4.5).

References

- [1] T. Byczkowski, J. Malecki and M. Ryznar, Hitting times of Bessel processes, *Potential Anal.* **38** (2013), 753-786.
- [2] H.S. Carslaw and J.C. Jaeger, *Conduction of heat in solids*, Clarendon press, Oxford, 1992.
- [3] A. Erdélyi, *Tables of Integral Transforms*, vol. I, McGraw-Hill, Inc. (1954)
- [4] Y. Hamana and H. Matumoto, The probability densities of the first hitting times of Bessel processes, *J. Math-for-Ind.* **4B** (2012), 91-95.
- [5] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*, North-Holland, 1981
- [6] K. Itô and H.P. McKean, Jr, *Diffusion processes and their sample paths*. Springer, 1965
- [7] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve, *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, 2nd ed. Springer, 1991
- [8] D. Revus and M. Yor, *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, 3ed ed., Springer, 1999
- [9] A. Sommerfeld, *Partial differential equations in physics*, Academic Press, 1949
- [10] F. Spitzer, Some theorems concerning 2-dimensional Brownian motion, *TAM.* **87** (1958), 187-197.
- [11] E. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971
- [12] E.C. Titchmarsh, *Eigenfunction expansions: Part one*, 2nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1962

- [13] E.C. Titchmarsh, Eigenfunction expansions, Part one, 2nd ed. Oxford University Press (1962).
- [14] J. Ten and M. Yor, Local times and excursion theory for Brownian motion, LNM 2088, Springer, 2013
- [15] K. Uchiyama, Asymptotic estimates of the distribution of Brownian hitting time of a disc., J. Theor. Probab., **25** (2012), 450-463. / Erratum, J. Theor. Probab. **25** (2012), issue 3, 910-911.
- [16] K. Uchiyama, The expected area of Wiener sausage swept by a disk. Stoch. Proc. Appl. **123** (2013), 191-211.
- [17] K. Uchiyama, Asymptotics of the densities of the first passage time distributions of Bessel diffusion, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), 2719-2742.
- [18] K. Uchiyama, The expected volume of Wiener sausage for a Brownian bridge joining the origin to a point outside a parabolic region, RIMS Kokyuroku 1855, Probability Symposium (2013), 10-18.
- [19] K. Uchiyama, The Brownian hitting distributions in space-time of bounded sets and the expected volume of The Wiener sausage for a Brownian bridge, available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.1307v3>
- [20] K. Uchiyama, Zeros of modified Bessel function of imaginary order and winding of planer Brownian motion, preprint
- [21] G. N. Watson, A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, 2nd ed., Reprinted by Springer, 1995
- [22] N. A. Watson, Introduction to heat potential theory, Mathematical surveys and monographs, **182** AMS. (2012).